ISSN 1364-0380

Geometry & Topology Volume 4 (2000) 431{449 Published: 14 November 2000



Bounds on exceptional Dehn lling

Ian Agol

Department of Mathematics University of Melbourne Parkville, VIC 3052 Australia

Email: agol @ms. uni mel b. edu. au URL: http://math.ucdavis.edu/~iagol

Abstract

We show that for a hyperbolic knot complement, all but at most 12 Dehn llings are irreducible with in nite word-hyperbolic fundamental group.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 57M50, 57M27

Secondary: 57M25, 57S25

Keywords: Hyperbolic, Dehn lling, word-hyperbolic

Proposed: Cameron Gordon Seconded: David Gabai, Robion Kirby Received: 20 February 1999 Revised: 29 May 2000

Copyright Geometry and Topology

1 Introduction

Thurston demonstrated that if one has a hyperbolic knot complement, all but nitely many Dehn llings give hyperbolic manifolds [14]. The example with the largest known number of non-hyperbolic Dehn llings is the gure-eight knot complement, which has 10 llings which are not hyperbolic. It is conjectured that this is the maximal number that can occur. Call a manifold *hyperbolike* if it is irreducible with in nite word-hyperbolic fundamental group (this is stronger than Gordon's de nition [9]). For example, manifolds with a Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature are hyperbolike. Call a Dehn lling exceptional if it is not hyperbolike. We will consider the more amenable problem of determining the number of exceptional Dehn llings on a knot complement. The geometrization conjecture would imply that hyperbolike manifolds are hyperbolic. Bleiler and Hodgson [4] showed that there are at most 24 exceptional Dehn llings, using Gromov and Thurston's 2 {theorem and estimates on cusp size due to Colin Adams[1]. We will make an improvement on the 2 {theorem, and use improved lower bounds on cusp size due to Cao and Meyerho [6], to get an upper bound of 12 exceptional Dehn llings. The inspiration for this work came from discussions with Zheng-Xu He. He has obtained bounds relating asymptotic crossing number to cusp geometry [11]. He remarked to me that his estimates could probably be improved, and this paper gives my attempt at such an improvement. Mark Lackenby [12] has obtained the same improvement of the 2 {theorem. My thesis gave implications about Dehn llings being atoroidal, not word-hyperbolic [2]. Marc has an improved version of Gabai's ubiquity theorem which lled in a gap in an early draft of my thesis, and this is the argument which appears in this paper.

2 De nitions

The notation introduced here will be used throughout the paper. We will use int X to mean the interior of the space X, and N(X) will denote an open regular neighborhood of a subset $X \quad M$. M is a hyperbolic 3{manifold with a distinguished torus cusp. M has a compacti cation to a compact 3 manifold \overline{M} with torus boundary, by adding the ends of geodesic rays which remain in the cusp. Let S be a surface of nite type (S may have both boundary and punctures), let $f: S \mid M$ be a map such that every puncture maps properly into a cusp. This map might not necessarily be an embedding or an immersion. Using the terminology of [13], $f: S \mid M$ is *incompressible* if every simple

loop *c* in *S* for which f(c) is homotopically trivial in *M* bounds a disk in *S*. The simple loop conjecture would imply that such a map *f* is $_1$ {injective, but this is not known for general 3{manifolds [7]. Let U = [0; 7) **R**. A boundary compression of *f* is a proper map *b*: $U \mid M$ such that there is a map b^{l} : $@U \mid S$ with $f \quad b^{l} = b_{j@U}$, $b^{l}(@U)$ is a proper simple line in *S* which does not bound a properly embedded half-plane in *S*. *f* is *@*{*incompressible* if it has no boundary compression. *f*: *S* ! *M* is *essential* if it is incompressible and *@*{incompressible. *f*: *S* ! *M* is *pleated* if the boundary components of *S* map to geodesics in *M*, and int*S* (the interior of *S*) is piecewise made of triangles which map under *f* to ideal hyperbolic geodesic triangles in *M*, so that the 1{skeleton [*@S* forms a lamination in *S*. A pleated surface has an induced hyperbolic metric, where the lamination is geodesic.

For a cusped hyperbolic 3{manifold M, we may take an embedded neighborhood C of the cusp which is a quotient of an open horoball by the torus group, which we will call a *horocusp*. The closure of C might not be embedded, so by @C we will mean the torus obtained as the path closure of the Riemannian manifold C (not regarded as a subset of M). @C inherits a euclidean metric from M. If p a loop in C, let $I_C(p)$ denote the length of a euclidean geodesic loop homotopic to p in @C. A *slope* in @C is an equivalence class of embedded loops in @C. If is a slope in @C, then M() denotes the Dehn lling along that slope, which is a manifold obtained by gluing a solid torus to MnC so that the loop represented by bounds a disk in the solid torus. This is uniquely determined by the slope in @C.

A theorem of Gromov [10] implies that for a closed manifold M, $_1(M)$ is *word-hyperbolic* if for a metric on M, M has a linear isoperimetric inequality. That is for a metric on M, there is a constant V so that for any map of a disk d: $D^2 \ ! \ M$, area $(D) \ V$ length(@D) in the induced metric on D. Gromov has shown that for such a manifold, $_1M$ has no $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ subgroup and has a solvable word problem. A theorem of Bestvina and Mess implies that the universal cover M has a compacti cation to a ball [3] (if the fundamental group is in nite and the manifold is irreducible). Thurston's geometrization conjecture would imply that M has a hyperbolic structure, that is a Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature -1.

3 Essential Surfaces

In this section, we show how to obtain singular essential surfaces in a knot complement coming from the ambient manifold. The results are similar to those of Ulrich Oertel [13], but we do not worry about embeddedness of the boundary components. Marc Lackenby [12] and Zheng-Xu He [11] have also obtained similar results to the following lemmas. The idea is that if there is an essential sphere or if the core of a Dehn lling has nite order in the fundamental group, so that some multiple of the core bounds a disk, then the surface can be homotoped so that its intersection with the knot complement is essential.

Lemma 3.1 (Essential punctured spheres) Let M^3 be a compact 3{manifold and take a knot k M with N = M n N(k), such that @N is incompressible in N and N is irreducible. Let f: S ! M be a singular map of a sphere or disk. If S is a sphere, then f is a homotopically non-trivial map into M. If S is a disk, then its boundary maps to a homotopically non-trivial curve in N(k). Then we can nd a surface T and a mapping g: T ! M, with the same properties as above, such that g is transverse to @N and $g^{-1}(N)$ is essential in N.

Proof First notice that we may take f transverse to k, so that $f^{-1}N(k)$ is a collection of disks in S and an annular neighborhood of the boundary in the disk case (we will call these *dots*). Then we will induct on $jf^{-1}N(k)j = 0$ the number of dots. Suppose there is an essential simple closed curve c in $f^{-1}(N)$ \hat{S} , which bounds a disk D in N (ie, there is a homeomorphism d^{ℓ} : $c \not = @D$ and a map d: $D \not = N$ with $d_{j@D} = f$. Then since S is either a disk or a sphere, c bounds a disk E in S which must meet N(k), since c is essential in \hat{S} . Surger $f: S \mid M$ along $d: D \mid M$. That is, create a new surface S^{ℓ} by splitting S along c, and glue in two copies of D to the two new boundary components (corresponding to two copies of c) by gluing using the homeomorphism d^{ℓ} : $c \not = @D$, then form a mapping f^{ℓ} : $S^{\ell} \not = M$ by using for d on the relevant pieces of S^{ℓ} . One component of S^{ℓ} may have image in *M* under f^{ℓ} a homotopically trivial sphere, so we get rid of it. In case *S* is a sphere, there are two choices for the disk E bounding c in S. At least one choice will surger to an essential sphere in M, so we keep this one. We then have a surface which has fewer dots. Replace *S* with this surface, which we will still call *S*, and *f* with the restriction of f^{\emptyset} to this subsurface, which we will still call f.

Suppose there is an arc which is embedded and essential in \hat{S} which bounds a boundary compression for \hat{S} . That is, there is a map d^{l} : $@U ! \hat{S}$ and a map d: U ! N such that $f d^{l} = d_{j@U}$. There are two types of boundary compressions:

(1) connects di erent dots in S, so we push S along the boundary compression. That is, we split S along the arc - and make a new surface

 S^{ℓ} by gluing two copies of the disk \overline{U} to the new boundary components using the homeomorphism d^{ℓ} : @U !, and identifying the other ends of the two copies of $@\overline{U}$ by the identity. Then replace f with f^{ℓ} : $S^{\ell} ! M$ by using f or d on the relevant pieces of S^{ℓ} . Then, we may expand N(k) slightly, and make f^{ℓ} transverse to @N. This has the e ect of turning two dots into one, and decreases the number of dots. By induction, we may assume there are no such compressions.

(2) connects the same dot in *S*. Take a maximal collection of disjoint, non-parallel @{compressions, and as in case 1, we push *S* along these @{compressions (see the previous case for a more precise description of this push operation), getting a new map which we will still call f: S ! M. Then $f^{-1}(N(k))$ is a collection of planar surfaces such that each one separates *S*. Take an innermost planar surface. If there are no dots in the disks it separates o , or if there are disks whose boundary maps to a homotopically trivial loop in N(k), then we can homotope f in a neighborhood of these disks in *S* into N(k), keeping f xed on the rest of *S*, since @N is incompressible in N and N is irreducible, decreasing the number of dots in *S*. Otherwise, one of these disks has boundary which is essential in N(k). We then take T to be this disk adjoined a collar of the outermost curve in N(k), and $g = f_{iT}$.

The next lemma deals with the case in which one has a singular map of a disk into M, with boundary mapped into the complement of the knot. Then one can homotope the map of the disk to be essential in the knot complement, as long as there are no essential punctured disks in the knot complement. This will be used later for bounding the area of such a disk.

Lemma 3.2 (Essential punctured disks) Let M^3 be a compact 3{manifold, and take a knot k M with N = M n N(k), such that @N N is incompressible and N is irreducible. Also, assume that there are no maps of disks a: A ! M transverse to k, with a(@A) = N(k), and $a^{-1}(N)$ essential in N. Then, if f: D ! M is a disk whose boundary is in N, we may homotope f so that $f^{-1}(N)$ is essential in N.

Proof The proof is similar to that of the previous lemma, but we need to observe that $_2M = 0$ by the previous lemma, so the disk surgeries can be done by homotopies. We may assume that $f_{jf^{-1}(N)}$ is incompressible and has no @{compression such that the arc connects di erent dots of $f^{-1}(N(k))$. As before, take a maximal collection of non-parallel arcs which separate D and bound @{compressions, and push f: D ! M along these @{compressions to

obtain planar surfaces separating D (as in the previous lemma). None of the disks separated by an innermost planar surface can be essential, since this would contradict our assumption. Thus, as in the previous lemma, we may homotope f on the innermost disks into N(k), decreasing the number of dots.

4 Pleated Surfaces

The argument in this section is similar to that of Thurston [15], but the hypotheses are slightly di erent. This result will be used next section to compare the geometry of the hyperbolic metric on the pleated surface to the geometry of the manifold.

Lemma 4.1 (Pleated Surfaces) Let N be a hyperbolic $3\{\text{manifold with a distinguished cusp, let S be a surface of nite type with <math>(S) < 0$, and let f: S ! N be a singular essential map, with cusps of S mapping properly to cusps of N, and @S mapping to geodesics in N. Then we can da hyperbolic metric on S and a map g: S ! N, such that g is pleated, g_{jintS} is homotopic to f_{intS} , and $g_{i@S}$ is an isometry.

Proof Choose an ideal triangulation *T* of int*S*, such that no edges connect @*S* to itself and every edge is essential in S. Then spin the triangles of T around @S. We obtain a lamination L consisting of $T^{(1)}$ [@S, which is a geodesic lamination, in the sense that it is isotopic to a geodesic lamination in any complete hyperbolic structure on S with geodesic boundary. We may assume f: S ! N is C^2 near L, since it can be assumed that the singularities of f are in the interior of S, so we can make L miss the singularities. So each end of a leaf of *L* which limits to @*S* must eventually have curvature close to 0, and is therefore a quasi-geodesic in N. Its other end must map properly into a cusp of N. Lifting to $\mathbb{H}^3 = \mathcal{N}$, we see that the endpoints must be distinct on $\mathscr{O}\mathbb{H}^3$, by discreteness. If both ends of a leaf L of L map into the same cusp when lifted to \mathbb{H}^3 , then *L* bounds a *@*{compressing disk in \mathbb{H}^3 , whose end maps into the same cusp. Pushing down to N, we ind a @{compression for S, which contradicts that the edges of T are essential in S and S is @{incompressible. In either case, the endpoints of each leaf lifted to \mathbb{H}^3 map to di erent points in $\mathscr{Q}\mathbb{H}^3$, so each leaf is homotopic to a unique geodesic. Therefore, we may homotope f so that $T^{(1)}$ is geodesic in N. We can homotope f on each triangle of T to be totally geodesic by homotopy extension in \mathbb{H}^3 and pushing down to N, giving a homotopic pleated map g: int $S \neq N$. A pleated surface has an induced

hyperbolic metric, which we give to int *S*. Then we can complete the metric on int *S* to a metric on a surface $S^{\ell} = S$. Choose a geodesic in f(@S). Then since the ends of leaves of *L* are quasi-geodesic, each end of a geodesic leaf of g(L) is in a bounded neighborhood of the end in f(L). Therefore, the ends of g(L) limit to . When part of a geodesic of *L* wraps closely once about $@S^{\ell}$, then its image wraps closely about in *N*. In the limit, we see that the length of $@S^{\ell}$ must be the same as the length of . So we may extend *g* to an isometry *g*: S ! N.

5 Cusp area shrinks

The next theorem is based on the fact that there are disjointly embedded cusps in S which have longer boundary than the cusp lengths in the image. This would be easy to show if S were totally geodesic, and we would get equality. But since S is actually pleated, the folding makes S have longer cusp lengths.

Theorem 5.1 (Bounding cusp length by Euler characteristic) Let N be a hyperbolic 3{manifold with a distinguished horocusp C. Let S be a surface of nite type with no boundary components, and f: S ! M be an essential mapping, where the cusps of S map into C. For each puncture p_i of S, consider the length of the corresponding slope $I_C(p_i)$ in @C. Then $_i I_C(p_i) = 6 j$ (S)j.

Proof First, homotope *f* to a pleated map by lemma 4.1, which we will also call f. f(int S) is a union of ideal geodesic triangles $T_1, ..., T_{2j}$ (S)*j*. If a corner of T_j is in C, the opposite edge of T_j might intersect C in its interior. Lifting T_j to \overline{T}_i in $M = \mathbb{H}^3$, it looks like Figure 1, with a parabolic limit point of *C* lifted to $\tilde{1}$, and \tilde{C} a lift of C, in the upper half-space model of \mathbb{H}^3 . Shrink the cusp *C* to a cusp C^{ℓ} such that each edge of T_{i} intersects *C* in no compact intervals. Then \mathcal{C}^{ℓ} looks like Figure 1. $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^{\ell}) = H^{\ell} = [H^{\ell}_{i} \text{ consists of disjoint horocusps}]$ H_i^{\emptyset} in S, one for each puncture of S which maps into C. Let $I_{H^{\emptyset}}(p_i) =$ the length of p_i along $@H_i^{\emptyset}$ in S. Then $I_{H^{\emptyset}}(p_i) = I_{C^{\emptyset}}(f(p_i))$, with equality i there is no bending along the pleats at p_i . Let $d = d(C; C^{\ell})$. Then choose horocusps H_i^{\emptyset} in S, such that $d(H_i; H_i^{\emptyset}) = d$. Then $f(H_i) = C$, since f is piecewise H_i an isometry, so it shrinks distances. Suppose $H_i \setminus H_i \notin j$, for some $i \notin j$. Then there is a geodesic arc *a* in *S* connecting p_i to p_j : just look at intersecting lifts of H_i ; H_j in $S = \mathbb{H}^2$, and take the geodesic *a* connecting the centers of H_i , H_j in $@\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^2$. See Figure 2. $f(H_i)$, $f(H_j) = C$, so f(a) = C. Then there is a @{compressing disk D for f(a) in C. Just cone o f(a) to the end of C by




Figure 1: Shrinking the horocusp




Figure 2: Intersecting horocusps give @{compression

geodesics. That is, in the universal cover of N, take a cover C of C tangent to 1, and f(a) of f(a). Then over each point of f(a), take a geodesic connecting the point to 1. This describes a map of a half plane compressing f(a). Map down to N, to get a @{compression of the arc a in f.

So we have shown that since S is @{incompressible, $H_i \setminus H_j = ;; i \notin j$. Thus, we have disjoint horocusps in S. $I_H(p_i) = e^d I_{H^0}(p_i)$ and $I_C(f(p_i)) = e^d I_{C^0}(f(p_i))$. So

$$I_{H}(p_{i}) = e^{d}I_{H^{\theta}}(p_{i}) \quad e^{d}I_{C^{\theta}}(f(p_{i})) = I_{C}(f(p_{i})):$$

A theorem of Boroczky [5] implies that $Area(H) = \frac{3}{4}Area(S)$ (one may also consult the argument of Lemma 3.1 in Marc Lackenby's paper [12], which can be easily modi ed to prove this inequality). A well-known computation implies that Area(H) = I(@H). So we have

$${}_{i}I_{C}(f(p_{i})) \qquad {}_{i}I_{H}(p_{i}) = I(@H) =$$

Area(H)
$$\frac{3}{4}$$
Area(S) =
$$\frac{3}{4} 2 j (S)j = 6j (S)j$$

by the Gauss{Bonnet theorem.

6 Word-hyperbolic Dehn lling

Let N be a nite volume hyperbolic 3{manifold with a unique embedded horocusp C. For a slope in @C, if $I_C() > 2$, then Gromov and Thurston proved that N() has a metric of negative curvature. Theorem 6.2 implies that if $I_C() > 6$, then N() is hyperbolike. The intuition for why such an improvement is possible is that the 2 {theorem only makes use of the negative curvature of N in the cusp C, whereas this result takes account of negative curvature of N outside of C as well.

First, we need to state a theorem of Lackenby. Let N, C, and be as above. Let k be the core of the Dehn lling in N(). We will x a Riemannian metric on N() which agrees with the hyperbolic metric on NnC. For a homotopically trivial mapping c: $S^1 ! N() nN(k)$, we de ne the *wrapping number*

 $wr(c;k) = \min fjd^{-1}N(k)j; d: D^2 ! M(); d \text{ is transverse to } N(k),$ and $d_{j@D} = cg$:

It measures the minimal number of intersections with N(k) of maps of disks spanning *c*. The following theorem is due to Lackenby [12, Theorem 2.1]:

Theorem 6.1 (Ubiquity theorem) In the situation above, there is a constant w such that for any least area disk d: $D^2 ! N()$, we have area(d) w(wr(@D;k) + length(@D)).

This theorem strengthens the ubiquity theorem of Gabai [8], in that it doesn't count the multiplicities of intersections of d: $D^2 \ ! \ N()$ with k. The point of this theorem is that to obtain a linear isoperimetric inequality for N(), we need only show that there is a constant v so that for maps d: $D^2 \ ! \ N()$, wr(@D; k) vlength(@D)).

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

Theorem 6.2 (Hyperbolike llings) Let N be a nite volume hyperbolic 3{ manifold with single embedded horocusp C. If is a slope with $I_C() > 6$, then N() is hyperbolike.

Proof If *@C* is not embedded, replace *C* with a slightly smaller horocusp, retaining the property that $I_C() > 6$. De ne M = NnC, and let *k* be the core of the Dehn lling N(), and N(k) the open solid torus which is attached to *M*. Suppose $_2N() \neq 0$ or $j_{-1}N()j < 1$. *M* has incompressible boundary, so by 3.1, N() contains a mapping of a sphere or disk f: S ! N() such that $f_{jS=f^{-1}(M)}$ is essential in *M*. Let $n = jf^{-1}(N(k))j$. Then there are at least n-1 boundary components of \hat{S} which map to multiples of in *@M*. If n = 0, \hat{S} would be an inessential sphere in *M*, since $_2M = 0$, and therefore is trivial in $_2N()$, a contradiction. If n = 1 or 2, so \hat{S} is a disk or annulus, then $f_{j\hat{S}}$ can be homotoped into *@M*, since *@M* is incompressible and *M* is acylindrical. So n = 3. Applying Lemma 5.1, we see

$$6(n-2) = 6j (S)j (n-1) I_C() > 6(n-1)$$

a contradiction. So $N(\)$ is irreducible with the core having in nite order in $_1N(\).$

Choose a metric on N() which agrees with the hyperbolic metric on M, and is any metric on $H = \overline{N(k)}$. We want to show that N() has linear isoperimetric inequality with this metric.

Choose a map c: $S^1 \ ! \ N()$ which is homotopically trivial. First, we will nd a homotopy of c to a map c^{θ} in M, such that the length c^{θ} and the area of the homotopy are linearly bounded by the length of c. The second step is to show that the wrapping number of c^{θ} is linearly bounded by its length. We then apply the ubiquity theorem to conclude that N() has linear isoperimetric inequality.

Then $c^{-1}(\text{int} H)$ consists of a collection of intervals. Let us consider one of these intervals . Lift c_j to a map c_0 : *!* H, where H is the universal cover of H. Change the metric on H to be isometric to a euclidean cylinder quotient a translation. Then this Riemannian metric is quasi-isometric to the original metric on H. We can homotope c_0 to a map c_1 : *!* @H keeping endpoints xed, such that length(c_1) $\frac{1}{2}$ length(c_0) (see Figure 3), where $c_1()$ is a shortest arc in @H connecting the endpoints of $c_0()$. The extremal case occurs when $c_0()$ is a diameter of the cylinder. $c_0() [c_1()$ bounds a map of a disk whose area is linearly bounded by length(c_0) + length(c_1) C length(c). For example, the disk which connects each point of $c_0() [c_1()$ by the shortest




Figure 3: Comparing lengths

segment to the axis of the cylinder works, as can be seen by an elementary computation. Since the metric on H is quasi-isometric to the euclidean metric, we can da homotopy of c to a map of a curve c^{d} : $S^{1} \ ! \ M$, whose length is linearly bounded by c, and such that the area of the homotopy is linearly bounded by c. Replace c with this map c^{d} .

We want to estimate wr(c; k), for im(c) = M. By lemma 3.1 we may assume that c bounds a map of a punctured disk d: S ! N such that $d_{id^{-1}(M)}$ is incompressible and @{incompressible in M, with n boundary components of $d^{-1}(M)$ mapping to multiples of in @M, and $d_{id^{-1}(C)}$ consists of maps of annuli which can be assumed to be products with respect to the horotorus foliation of C. So wr(c; k)*n*. If *c* is homotopic to @M, then *c* would be homotopic in M to a multiple of f, since otherwise it would be homotopic to a multiple of k, and it would not be homotopically trivial in N(). The area of the annulus realizing the homotopy into @M can be chosen to be linearly bounded by length(c), for example by coning o c to the cusp in N. Therefore c bounds a map of a disk in N() whose area is linearly bounded by length(c). If *c* is not homotopic into @M, then we may homotope *c* to be geodesic in *N*, and d to be pleated in N, by lemma 4.1. Consider $d^{-1}(C)$ S. Then as in lemma 5.1, we can nd disjoint cusp neighborhoods H_i in S, some of which might intersect @S. Let us estimate how many horocusps can meet @S. We will assume the rst *j* cusps meet @*S*. Shrink each cusp meeting @*S* until it is tangent to @S. Lifting to $S = \mathbb{H}^2$, so that a geodesic component of $\overline{\mathscr{I}}S$ runs from 0 to 1, we see a sequence of j + 1 horodisks tangent to , such that the rst and i + 1 st horodisks are identified by the covering translation of \cdot . So the

length of @S is the distance between the tangent points of these two horodisks. Consider two sequential horodisks. Then we may move the horodisk of larger euclidean radius by a hyperbolic isometry, keeping it tangent to the smaller one. A geometric calculation shows that




Figure 4: Bounding translation length

the hyperbolic length between the tangency points of the horodisks is $2\ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$ (Figure 4). So $l(@S) = 2j\ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$.

Take *S* and double it along its geodesic boundary *@S* to a hyperbolic surface *DS*. As in lemma 5.1, $l(@H_i) = l_C()$, for i > j. So we take the collection of horocusps in *DS* consisting of H_i and its reflection, for i > j. Choose a number such that l() > 6 +. Then we have

$$6(2n-2) = 6j \ (DS)j \ 2 \sum_{i=j+1}^{N^{1}} l(@H_{i}) \ 2 \sum_{i=j+1}^{N^{1}} l_{C}() \ 2(n-j)(6+):$$

Thus,

$$2 n 2j(6+) - 12 \frac{(6+)/(c)}{\ln(1+2)}$$
:

So wr(*c*; *k*) $n = \frac{(6+)/(c)}{2 \ln(1+\sqrt{2})}$. By the ubiquity theorem 6.1, *N*() has linear isoperimetric inequality.

7 Essential surfaces and Dehn lling

The next theorem gives a condition for which a quasifuchsian surface in a hyperbolic knot complement remains $_1$ {injective under Dehn lling.

As usual, *N* is a hyperbolic 3{manifold with a horocusp *C* and *S* is a surface of nite type. Let $f: S \mid N$ be a _1{injective mapping, taking cusps of *S* to cusps of *N*. We will assume that the covering N_f of *N* corresponding to $f(_1S)$ is geometrically nite. Let Q(S) be the convex core of N_f . Suppose *f* has no accidental parabolics, that is Q(S) is homeomorphic to *S* [0/1] (or it is homeomorphic to *S* if _1(*S*) is fuchsian), and all cusps of *S* map to the same boundary slope in *C* (could be none). Let *C* be the preimage of *C* in N_f . Suppose $Q(S) \setminus C = N(cusps(Q(S)))$, that is the only intersections with *C* are the ones which must occur. Call such a mapping *f* geometrically proper with respect to *C*.

Theorem 7.1 (Quasifuchsian lling) Assume we have N and f: S ! N as above, so that f is geometrically proper with respect to C. Let be the slope on @C corresponding to the image of the cusps of S under the mapping f, or any slope in C, if Q(S) is compact. Suppose $I_C()$ 6. Form the compact surface $S^{\emptyset} = Snf^{-1}(C)$ such that $K = S^{\emptyset}n(Snf^{-1}(C))$ consists of disks, and a mapping f^{\emptyset} : S^{\emptyset} ! N(), such that $f^{\emptyset}_{jS^{\emptyset}nK} = f$ and $f^{\emptyset}_{jK} = N()n(NnC)$. Then f^{\emptyset} is _1{injective in N().

Proof Suppose f^{\emptyset} is not injective into ${}_{1}N()$. Let $g: S^{1} ! S^{\emptyset}nK$ be a map which is homotopically non-trivial in S^{\emptyset} and which bounds a map of a disk D into N(), that is there is a map d: D ! N() with $d_{j@D} = f g$. Choose $d^{-1}(N(k))$ to have as few components as possible, where k is the core of the Dehn lling. Then f g is homotopic to a unique map with geodesic image in N, which will lie inside of Q(S) when we lift to N_{f} , since g is homotopically non-trivial in S^{\emptyset} . By lemmas 3.2 and 4.1 bounds an incompressible, $@\{$ incompressible pleated map of a punctured disk d: F ! N, with n punctures mapping to multiples of in C, d(@F) = . Suppose $d^{-1}(C) \setminus @F \notin :$. Then look at a component of $d^{-1}(C)$ which intersects @F, and suppose it is noncompact. Then there is an embedded arc in $d^{-1}(C)$ connecting a point in @F to a cusp of F. There must also be such a geodesic arc ${}^{\emptyset}$ in $Q(S) \setminus C$

in the cover N_f connecting the preimage of with the corresponding cusp in C, by the assumption that f is geometrically proper with respect to C. Since the lift of d() to N_f and ${}^{\ell}$ lie entirely in the same component of C, d can be homotoped so that $d() = {}^{\ell}$. Take a neighborhood R of in F which contains the cusp at one end of $\$, and consider the subsurface $F^{\ell} = FnR$. Then $d_{j@F^{\ell}}$ lifts to a map into Q(S), and there is a map g^{ℓ} : $S^1 ! S^{\ell}nK$ so that $f g^{\ell}$ is homotopic to $d_{j@F^{\ell}}$. Moreover, g^{ℓ} is homotopic to g, since ${}_1Q(S) = {}_1(S)$. Thus, we have found a map of a loop $g^{\ell} ! S^{\ell}nK$ which bounds a map of a disk in N() with fewer intersections with N(k) Thus, every component of $d^{-1}(C)$ which intersects @F must be compact. If n = 0 or 1, then S would be compressible, or have an accidental parabolic. Otherwise we may apply the argument of theorem 5.1 to get

$$6(n-1) = 6j (F)j n I_C() 6n$$

a contradiction. The point is that since $d^{-1}(C)$ intersects @F only in compact pieces, we may nd embedded horocusp neighborhoods of the punctures in F which miss @F, so that we may apply Boroczky's theorem to the double of F, as we did in theorem 6.2.

Here is an example which shows that the bound in theorem 6.2 is sharp. We construct a manifold which has a totally geodesic punctured torus with maximal possible cusp size. Take an ideal octahedron O in \mathbb{H}^3 which has all angles between faces =2, as in Figure 5.




Figure 5: An ideal octahedron O in the conformal model

Then we take two copies of *O*, and glue the top six side faces together in pairs as indicated in Figure 6. The edges of the front faces get glued up in such a way




Figure 6: How to glue up the manifold

that we get a punctured torus made of two ideal triangles. The six side faces get glued cyclically, to form a punctured disk, as in the bottom diagram of gure 6. Double the manifold obtained so far along this punctured disk, then there are two punctured tori, and the back faces of the two octahedra double to form two 3{punctured spheres. We then glue the punctured tori and punctured spheres together to get a manifold N of nite volume, with 4 cusps (we can get two cusps by gluing the punctured spheres with a twist). The cusp C corresponding to the punctured torus has an embedded horoball neighborhood with boundary slope length = 6. The punctured torus remains incompressible after Dehn lling along this slope, by theorem 7.1 (this can also be shown using the fact that the torus is homologically non-trivial, and the lling is irreducible). This shows that the bound given in 5.1 is sharp. By Dehn lling the other cusps of N, we can get manifolds with an embedded punctured torus and a cusp corresponding to C, such that the boundary slope is as close to 6 as we like. This shows that the theorem 6.2 is sharp as well.

Here is an example of hyperbolic knots in S^3 with meridian slope length in a maximal horocusp approaching 4. Take the 5 component link *L* which is the 2{fold branch cover over one component of the Borromean rings. It is well

known that the meridian slope for a maximal horocusp in the Borromean rings is 2, so the link L has one component with meridian slope length 4. Then we may do arbitrarily high Dehn llings on the other components to obtain knots in S^3 with meridian slope length approaching 4 (see gure 7 for the Dehn lling description). One may see that the Dehn llings in diagram 7 on each pair of unlinked components cancel each other by opposite Dehn twists on an annulus connecting up each pair in the complement of the other pair, so that the manifold obtained by the Dehn lling is still a knot in S^3 . It would be interesting to nd knots with longer meridian slope lengths.




Figure 7: Knots with meridian length *!* 4 as *jnj !* 1

8 Bounds on exceptional slopes

For a pair of slopes , on a torus, call their intersection number (;). If we choose a basis for the homology on the torus, such that = (a; b); = (C; d); then (;) = jad - bcj. If is a slope, then gcd(a; b) = 1, since represents a primitive homology class.

Theorem 8.1 Let N be a hyperbolic $3\{\text{manifold}, \text{ and } C \text{ a distinguished embedded torus cusp.}$ The intersection number between exceptional boundary slopes on C is 10, and there are at most 12 exceptional boundary slopes.

Proof Given two exceptional slopes , , $I_C()$ 6, and $I_C()$ 6 by theorem 6.2. By a result of Cao and Meyerho , theorem 5.9 in [6], area(@*C*) 3.35. Let be the angle between the geodesics and on @*C*. Computing area, we have $I_C()$ $I_C()$ sin() = (;) area(@*C*). So

$$(;) = \frac{l_C() l_C() \sin()}{\operatorname{area}(@C)} - \frac{6^2}{3.35} = 10.75$$

so (;) 10.

For the second part of the claim, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 8.2 (Bound on number of slopes) If a collection of slopes on a torus have pairwise intersection numbers R, then for any prime number p > R, the number of such slopes is bounded by p + 1.

Proof Denote the projective plane over the nite eld of order p by $\mathbb{F}_p\mathbb{P}^1$. Then there is a map \mathbb{QP}^1 ! $\mathbb{F}_p\mathbb{P}^1$, where $\frac{a}{b} \mathbb{V}$ ($a \mod p; b \mod p$). This map is well-de ned, since if $\frac{a}{b} \mathbb{V}$ (0; 0), then $pj \gcd(a; b) = 1$. Suppose a pair of slopes $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$ in the given collection map to the same point in $\mathbb{F}_p\mathbb{P}^1$, then $(a; b) = k(c; d) \pmod{p}$, so $jad - bcj = jkcd - kcdj = 0 \pmod{p}$. If jad - bcj = 0, then $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$. Otherwise,

$$p \quad jad - bcj = \left(\frac{a}{b}; \frac{c}{d}\right) \quad R < p;$$

a contradiction. So for each point of $\mathbb{F}_p\mathbb{P}^1$, there is at most one slope in the collection mapped to it. Thus, there are at most $j\mathbb{F}_p\mathbb{P}^1 j = p + 1$ slopes in the collection.

In the case at hand, we have R = 10 < 11, so we compute that the number of exceptional llings is 12.

It is conjectured that the maximal intersection number between exceptional slopes is 8, realized by the gure 8 knot complement [9]. Moreover, we expect that the gure 8 knot has the fewest number of exceptional slopes, 10. When applied to the gure 8 knot, theorem 6.2 gives exactly the set of exceptional slopes for the maximal cusp. On the other hand, the gure eight knot sister has a regular torus cusp, with 12 slopes of length 6, but there are only 8 exceptional llings [9] (see Figure 8). In the gure, the view is from 7 in the cusp of the gure eight sister, and the circles correspond to other horoball copies of the cusp from our viewpoint. Signed pairs of lattice points correspond to slopes, where a segment from the center of the picture to the lattice point maps down to a boundary slope in the manifold. The exceptional slopes are shown in the box.




Figure 8: Primitive lattice points in the gure eight knot sister

References

- [1] **C C Adams**, *The noncompact hyperbolic 3{manifold of minimal volume*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987) 601{606
- [2] I Agol, Volume and topology of hyperbolic 3{manifolds, PhD thesis, UC San Diego (1998)
- [3] M Bestvina, G Mess, The boundary of negatively curved groups, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991) 469{481
- [4] SA Bleiler, CD Hodgson, Spherical space forms and Dehn Iling, Topology, 35 (1996) 809{833
- [5] **K Boroczky**, *Packing of spheres in spaces of constant curvature*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae, 32 (1978) 243{261
- [6] **C Cao**, **R Meyerho**, *The orientable cusped hyperbolic 3{manifolds of minimal volume*, preprint
- [7] D Gabai, The simple loop conjecture, J. Di . Geom. 21 (1985) 143{149
- [8] D Gabai, Quasi-minimal semi-Euclidean laminations in 3 {manifolds, Surveys in di erential geometry, Vol. III (Cambridge, MA, 1996) Int. Press, Boston, MA (1998) 195{242

- C McA Gordon, Dehn Iling: a survey, from: \Knot Theory (Warsaw, 1995)", Polish Acad. Sci. Warsaw (1998) 129{144
- [10] **M Gromov**, *Hyperbolic Groups*, Essays in Group Theory, Springer{Verlag (1987)
- Z-X He, On the crossing number of high degree satellites of hyperbolic knots, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998) 235{245
- [12] M Lackenby, Word hyperbolic Dehn surgery, Invent. Math. 140 (2000) 243{282
- U Oertel, Boundaries of 1 (injective surfaces, Topology and its Applications, 78 (1997) 215{234
- [14] **W P Thurston**, *The geometry and topology of 3{manifolds*, Lecture notes from Princeton University (1978{80)
- [15] W P Thurston, Hyperbolic structures on 3{manifolds 1: Deformation of acylindrical manifolds, Annals of Math. 124 (1986) 203{246