ISSN 1364-0380

Geometry & Topology Volume 4 (2000) 85{116 Published: 28 January 2000



Combing Euclidean buildings

Gennady A Noskov

Russia, 644099, Omsk Pevtsova 13, IITAM SORAN

Email: noskov@private.omsk.su

Abstract

For an arbitrary Euclidean building we de ne a certain combing, which satises the \fellow traveller property" and admits a recursive de nition. Using this combing we prove that any group acting freely, cocompactly and by order preserving automorphisms on a Euclidean building of one of the types A_n ; B_n ; C_n admits a biautomatic structure.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 20F32

Secondary: 20F10

Keywords: Euclidean building, automatic group, combing

Proposed: Walter Neumann Seconded: Joan Birman, Wolfgang Metzler Received: 9 February 1999 Revised: 10 November 1999

Copyright Geometry and Topology

1 Introduction

Let *G* be a group that acts properly and cocompactly on a piecewise Euclidean simply connected CAT(0) {complex (see eg [4] for de nitions). (The action of course is supposed to be cellular, properness means that the isotropy group *G* is nite for every cell and cocompactness means that has only nitely many cells mod *G*.) It is still unknown whether *G* is (bi)automatic. Moreover, the question remains unanswered even in the case when is a Euclidean building [6].

\It is reasonable to guess that the answer is 'yes' because of the work of Gersten and Short and because of the geometry and regularity present in buildings, but this is far from a trivial question" (John Meier's review [MR 96k:20071] of the paper [6]).

The rst results in this direction are contained in the papers of S Gersten and H Short [8], [9] where it is proven that if G is given by a nite presentation satisfying the small cancellation conditions C(p); T(q) ((p;q) = (6;3); (4;4); (3;6))then G is biautomatic. They showed in [8] that the fundamental group of a piecewise Euclidean 2{complex of nonpositive curvature of type A_1 A_1 or A_2 is automatic. $(A_1 \quad A_1 \text{ corresponds to the Euclidean planar tessellation by unit$ squares, and A_2 to the tessellation by equilateral triangles). In the subsequent paper [9] the authors prove an analogous result for 2 {complexes of types B_2 and G_2 corresponding to the Euclidean tessellations by $(\overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{4})$ and $(\overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{6})$ triangles, respectively. It follows from this work that any torsion free group Gwhich admits a proper cocompact action on a Euclidean building of type A_2 is biautomatic. W Ballmann and M Brin [1] have proven the automatic property for a group G which acts simply transitively on the vertices of a simply connected (3,6) {complex. D Cartwright and M Shapiro have proven the following theorem [6]: Let G act simply transitively on the vertices of a Euclidean A_{n} {building in a type rotating way. Then G admits a geodesic, symmetric automatic structure. In [13] some variation of this result is proven in a more geometric way in the case of n = 2. It is worth mentioning that in the case of nonpositively curved cube complexes the general result was obtained by G Niblo and L Reeves [12], namely, any group acting properly and cocompactly on such a complex is biautomatic.

In this paper we de ne a certain combing on an arbitrary Euclidean building, prove the fellow traveller property" for this combing and the recursiveness property". Our main result is the following.

Combing Euclidean buildings

Theorem

(1) Let be any Euclidean building of one of the types A_n ; B_n ; C_n , ordered in a standard way (see Section 3.10 for a de nition). Then any group acting freely and cocompactly on by order-preserving automorphisms admits a biautomatic structure.

(2) If is any Euclidean building of one of the types A_n ; B_n ; C_n , then any group acting freely and cocompactly on is virtually biautomatic (that is there is a nite index subgroup in it, possessing a biautomatic structure).

In Section 2 we review some of the standard facts on Euclidean Coxeter complexes. In Section 3 we introduce the main notion of an ordering of a Euclidean building and prove that any Euclidean building can be ordered. In Section 4 we de ne a natural combing *C* on a Euclidean building . In Sections 5 and 6 we prove the \fellow traveller property" and the \recursiveness property" for a combing *C*. The concluding Section 7 is devoted to the proof our main result.

Acknowledgements I am grateful to the SFB 343, University of Bielefeld for their hospitality in the falls of 1996{97 years while I carried out most of this work. I would like to thank Herbert Abels for his kind invitation, interest and support. Thanks to Sarah Rees for the help to make the language of the paper more regular. Thanks to referee for many improvements of the text. The work was supported in part by a RFFI grant N 96-01-01610.

2 Euclidean Coxeter complexes

For the convenience of the reader we recall the relevant material from [2], [10], thus making our exposition self-contained.

2.1 Roots and Weyl group

Let to be a *root system*, which is supposed to be reduced, irreducible and crystallographic. That is is a nite set of nonzero vectors, spanning a nite dimensional Euclidean space V and such that

1) $\setminus \mathbf{R} = f : -g$ for all 2,

2) is invariant under reflection $s\,$ in the hyperplane $H\,$ orthogonal to for all $\,2\,$,

3) $\frac{2(2)}{2(2)} 2\mathbf{Z}$ for all (2, 2),

4) V does not admit an orthogonal decomposition $V = V^{\emptyset} - V^{\emptyset}$ such that $= {}^{\emptyset} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{with} & V^{\emptyset} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = V^{\emptyset}$.

The *Weyl group W* of is the group generated by all reflections s (2). In equal terms *W* is generated by all reflections s_H , where *H* ranges over the set *H* of all hyperplanes, orthogonal to the roots from .

If this is the case, then must be the disjoint union of $_+$ and $_ _+$, the latter being called a *negative system*. When $_+$ is xed, we can write > 0 in place of $_2$ $_+$. It is clear that positive systems exist.

Call a subset of a *simple system* if is a vector space basis for V and if moreover each 2 is a linear combination of with coe cients all of the same sign (all nonnegative or all nonpositive). If is a simple system in , then there is a unique positive system containing . Every positive system in contains a unique simple system; in particular, simple systems exist.

Any two positive (resp. simple) systems in are conjugate under W. Thus W permutes the the various positive (or simple) systems in a transitive fashion. This permutation action is indeed a simply transitive action, that is if $W \ 2 \ W$ leaves the positive (or simple) system invariant, then W = 1.

2.2 Coroots, lattices

Setting -:= 2 = (;), the set - of all *coroots* -(2) is also a root system in V, with simple system -:= f - j 2 g. The Weyl group of - is W, with W - = (W) -. The \mathbb{Z} {span \mathbb{Z} of in V is called the *root lattice*; it is a lattice in V. Similarly, we de ne the *coroot lattice* \mathbb{Z} -. De ne the *coweight lattice* \mathbb{Z} [#] { it is just a dual lattice of the root lattice \mathbb{Z} , that is

 $\mathbf{Z}^{\#} = f \ 2 \ V j(;) \ 2 \mathbf{Z}$ for all $2 \ g$:

Since (-;) Z and both Z -; Z are the lattices, one can conclude that Z [#] contains Z - as a subgroup of nite index.

2.3 Fundamental domain and spherical Coxeter complex

Let W be the Weyl group of a root system . The hyperplanes H with $s_H 2 W$ cut V into polyhedral pieces, which turn out to be cones over simplices. One obtains in this way a simplicial complex $_{sph} = _{sph}(W)$ which triangulates

the unit sphere in V. This is a spherical Coxeter complex. More exactly let $_{+}$ be a positive system, containing the simple system $\,$. Associated with each hyperplane H are the closed half-spaces H^+ and H^- , where $H^+ = f 2$ $0g \text{ and } H^{-} = f 2 V j(;)$ 0g. De ne a sector S = S := $V_{j}(;)$ $\lambda_2 H^+$ associated to . As an intersection of closed convex subsets, S is itself closed and convex. It is also a cone (closed under nonnegative scalar multiples). Sectors associated to W are always simplicial cones, by which we mean that, for some basis e_1 ; \dots e_n of V the sector S consists of the linear $a_i e_i$ with all a_i positive. (In other words, S is a cone over combinations the closed simplex with vertices e_1 ;...; e_n). We call **R** $_0e_i$ the *de ning rays* of S. One can describe the de ning rays of the sector S more explicitly in terms of the basis of coroot lattice. Namely, let $f!_{T}g$ be the dual basis of that is (1 = f

$$= T_{1}; \ldots; ng, \text{ that is } (!_{\overline{i}}; j) = ij \text{ for all } l; j = 1; \ldots; n. \text{ Then}$$

$$\times a_{i}!_{\overline{i}} 2S() a_{j} = (a_{i}!_{\overline{i}}; j) 0; j = 1; \ldots; n$$

$$1 i n 1 i n$$

We assert that the rays $\mathbf{R}_{0}/_{T}$ are the dening rays for S: Indeed, each line \mathbf{R}_{T} is precisely the line obtained by intersecting all but one H_{i} , namely $\mathbf{R}_{T} = \sum_{j \neq i} H_{j}$: Consequently one of the halflines of this line is a dening ray and calculating the scalar products we conclude that this is exactly $\mathbf{R}_{0}/_{T}$.

W acts *simply transitively* on simple systems and this translates into a simply transitive action on the the sectors. This means that any two sectors are conjugate under the action of *W* and if wS = S then w = 1. Moreover any sector *S* is a fundamental domain of the action of *W* on *V*, ie, each 2V is conjugated under *W* to one and only one point in *S*. The sectors are characterized topologically as the closure of the connected components of the complement in *V* of [H]. They are in one one correspondence with the top-dimensional simplices (= *chambers*) of the corresponding spherical complex. Given a sector *S* corresponding to a simple system , its *walls* are de ned to be the hyperplanes H (2).

2.4 Euclidean reflections and Euclidean Weyl group

Let be the root system in *V* as it was defined in Section 2.1. For each root and each integer *k*, defined a Euclidean hyperplane $H_{j,k} := f_2 V j(j;) = kg$: Note that $H_{j,k} = H_{-j,-k}$ and that $H_{j,0}$ coincides with the reflecting hyperplane H_j : Note too that $H_{j,k}$ can be obtained by translating H_j by $\frac{k}{2} - .$ Define the corresponding Euclidean reflection as follows: $s_{j,k}() := -((j;) - k) - .$ We can also write $s_{j,k}$ as $t(k -)s_j$, where t() denotes the translation by a vector f_j . In particular, $s_{j,0} = s_j$. Denote by H_z the collection of all

hyperplanes $H_{jk}(2 ; k 2 \mathbb{Z})$ which we shall call the *walls*. The elements of $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are permuted in a natural way by W_a as well as by translations t(), where 2 V satis es $(;) 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for all roots (that is $2 \mathbb{Z}^{\#}$). In particular, $\mathbb{Z}^{\#}$ permutes the hyperplanes in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, hence so does its subgroup \mathbb{Z}^{-} . De ne the *a* ne Weyl group W_a to be the subgroup of A(V) generated by all a ne reflections s_{jk} where $a 2 : jk 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Another description of W_a is that it is the semidirect product $W_a = \mathbb{Z}^{-} \rtimes W$ of the nite Weyl group W and the translation group corresponding to the coroot lattice \mathbb{Z}^{-} , see [10], Section 4.2.

Since the translation group corresponding to $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ is also normalized by W, we can form the semidirect product $\mathcal{W}_{a} = \mathbf{Z}^{\#} \rtimes W$, which contains \mathcal{W}_{a} as a normal subgroup of nite index. Indeed, $\mathcal{W}_{a}=\mathcal{W}_{a}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}=\mathbf{Z}^{-}$. One can easily see from 1), 2) that \mathcal{W}_{a} also permutes the hyperplanes in $H_{\mathbf{Z}}$. We call this group the *extended a ne Weyl group*.

2.5 Euclidean Coxeter complexes

The hyperplanes $H 2 H_{\mathbf{Z}}$ triangulate the space V and the resulting piecewise Euclidean complex = is a Euclidean Coxeter complex. More generally we shall apply the same term to the Euclidean simplicial structure on a Euclidean space V^{ℓ} such that for some root system in a Euclidean space V there is an a ne isometry : V ! V^{\emptyset} which induces simplicial isomorphism . In particular in we have all the notions as in between and The extended Weyl group \mathcal{W}_a acts by simplicial isometries on and this to the action on but not in a canonical way { if $: V ! V^{\emptyset}$ translates by is another isometry then the actions are conjugate by a suitable isometry of V. The possible ambiguity is resolved by the following lemma.

2.6 Lemma Both M_a and W_a are invariant under the conjugation by any isometry of V, which preserves the simplicial structure .

In particular the images of \mathcal{W}_a and \mathcal{W}_a in Aut() are canonically de ned and we call them the *extended a ne Weyl group of* and by the *a ne Weyl group of* respectively.

Proof Since leaves invariant the family of hyperplanes $H_{\mathbf{Z}}$, it also leaves invariant the family of reflections in the hyperplanes of this family, hence normalizes the a ne Weyl group W_a . Next it leaves invariant the set of special vertices (see de nition in Section 2.8 and lemma 2.9) hence normalizes the translation group $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$. Since \mathscr{W}_a is generated by W_a and $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ it is also normalized by .

The collection A of top-dimensional closed simplices consists of the closures of the connected components of $V := V n [_{H_{2H_{Z}}}H$. Each element of A is called an *alcove*. The group W_a acts simply transitively on A, [10], Chapter 4, Theorem 4.5. Any alcove A is a fundamental domain of the action of W_a on V, ie, each 2 V is conjugated under W to one and only one point in A. In particular V = [fS : is a simple system g: Since \widehat{W}_a permutes the hyperplanes in $H_{\mathbf{Z}}$, it acts simplicially on A.

2.7 Standard alcove

There is an alcove with a particularly nice description (see [2], Corollary of Proposition 4 in Section 2, Chapter VI or [10] Section 4.9). Namely let = f_{ig} be a simple root system for . Let $f!_{T}g$ be the dual basis for f_{ig} { this is the basis of the coweight lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$. Let $\sim = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i & n & c_{i} & i \\ 1 & i & n & c_{i} & i \end{bmatrix}$ be the corresponding highest root. Then the alcove A = A, associated to is a closed simplex with the vertices 0 and $\frac{1}{c_i}!_T$; i = 1; ...; n. We call this alcove a standard alcove associated to .

2.8 Special vertices

The vertex $x \ 2$ is called a *special vertex* if its stabilizer $S_{W_a}(x)$ in W_a maps isomorphically onto the associated nite Weyl group W. (Note that the stabilizers of any vertex in W_a and in \widehat{W}_a coincide). Equivalently, for any hyperplane $H \ 2 H_Z$ there is a parallel hyperplane in H_Z , passing through x. Yet another equivalent de nition is that the maximal possible number of hyperplanes from H_Z pass through x:

2.9 Lemma The set of special vertices of the complex coincide with the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ (see [2], Proposition 3 in Section 2, Chapter VI).

Proof Since the zero vertex is special and the coweight lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ acts simplicially on , we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ consists of the special vertices. Conversely, let *x* be a special vertex. Since W_a preserves the property of the vertex being special and since it acts transitively on the set of alcoves, we may assume that *x* is the vertex of the standard alcove

$$A = <0; \frac{1}{c_1}! \frac{1}{1}; \ldots; \frac{1}{c_n}! \frac{1}{n} >$$

described above. If x = 0, then obviously $x \ge \mathbb{Z}^{\#}$. If $x = \frac{l_T}{c_i}$ and $c_i = 1$ then again $x \ge \mathbb{Z}^{\#}$. Finally, if $x = \frac{1}{c_i} l_T$ and $c_i > 1$ then x can't be special. Indeed $(\frac{1}{c_i} l_T; i) = 1 = c_i < 1$, thus no member of the family of hyperplanes in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ parallel to H_i pass through x.

2.10 Lemma All the vertices of the complex are special if and only if is of type A_n .

Proof Since W_a preserves the property of the vertex being special and since it acts transitively on the set of alcoves, all the the vertices of are special if and only if all the vertices of the standard alcove $A = \langle 0; \frac{1}{c_1}!, \frac{1}{c_n}; \frac{1}{n} \rangle$ are special. As we have already seen in the proof of the preceding lemma, the non-special points of this alcove are in one one correspondence with the numbers $c_1; \ldots; c_n$, that are strictly greater than 1. Thus all the vertexes are special if and only if all the numbers c_i in the expression $\sim = \frac{1}{1 + i} \frac{1}{n} c_i$ i are equal to 1. Now inspecting the tables of the root systems in [2], we conclude that this happens only in the case of the root system of type A_n .

2.11 More subcomplexes

Note that an intersection of any family of hyperplanes from $H_{\mathbf{Z}}$ or corresponding halfspaces is a subcomplex of a Euclidean Coxeter complex. In particular the line $\mathbf{R} \mid_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{j \notin i} H_j$ is a subcomplex. Note that for any $m \ 2 \mathbf{Z}; i = 1; \dots; n$ the point $m!_{\mathcal{T}} = c_i$ is the vertex of \dots Indeed $(m!_{\mathcal{T}} = c_i; \sim) = m$ implies that $m!_{\mathcal{T}} = c_i \ 2 H_{\sim;m}$ and $(m!_{\mathcal{T}} = c_i; j) = 0; j \notin i$ implies that $m!_{\mathcal{T}} = c_i \ 2 H_{j,0}$, hence $m!_{\mathcal{T}} = c_i$ is an intersection of n hyperplanes $H_{\sim;m}; H_{j,0}; j \notin i$. In particular the line segments $[0; !_{\mathcal{T}}] = \mathbf{R} !_{\mathcal{T}}$ are the subcomplexes of \dots

3 Ordering Euclidean buildings

3.1 De nitions We will consider *special edges* of a Euclidean Coxeter complex = of dimension *n*, that is the directed edges $e \ 2^{(1)}$ such that the origin *e* of *e* is a special vertex. Let E_s be the set of all such edges. The typical examples of such edges are given by the standard alcove $A = \langle 0, \frac{1}{c_1}, \frac{1}{c_1}, \frac{1}{c_2}, \frac{1}{c_1} \rangle$ constructed in Section 2.10. All the directed edges

$$[0;\frac{1}{c_1}!_{\overline{1}}];\ldots;[0;\frac{1}{c_n}!_{\overline{n}}]$$

are special. In some sense any special edge *e* arrives in this way { indeed, let $e = 2\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$, then e - starts at 0 and there is some simple system such that e - is an edge of the alcove A, starting at 0.

More generally call a directed edge *e quasi-special* if it lies on a line segment [x; y] in ⁽¹⁾ with special vertices x; y. An example will be any directed edge lying on the line segment $[0; !_T]$ since $0; !_T$ are special, see Section 2.9. This remark implies that any special edge is quasi-special. Note that \mathcal{M}_a leaves E_s invariant as well as the set E_{qs} of all quasi-special edges. (It might be that all the edges in any Coxeter complex, and hence in any Euclidean building, are quasi-special, but the proof of this is not in the author's possession.)

Since the set of all special vertices on the line L of ⁽¹⁾ is discrete in Euclidean topology, we conclude that for any quasi-special edge e there is a unique minimal (with respect to inclusion) line segment [x; y] in ⁽¹⁾ with special vertices x; y, which contains e.

By an *ordering* of we mean a function $: E_{qs} \not V f_1; ...; ng; n = \dim ;$ such that

1) for any alcove $A = \langle x, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ with a special vertex x the function is bijective on the set of special edges $f[x, x_1], \dots, [x, x_n]g$,

2) is \mathbb{A}_a {equivariant,

3) for any line segment in [x; y] ⁽¹⁾ with special vertices x; y the ordering function is constant on a set of directed quasi-special edges lying on [x; y] and oriented from x to y.

3.2 Remarks This resembles the notion of a *labelling* of a Euclidean Coxeter complex, which means that it is possible to partition the vertices into $n = \dim +1$ \types", in such a way that each alcove has exactly one vertex of

each type. The labellability of a Euclidean Coxeter complex follows from the fact that the W_a {action partitions the vertices into n orbits, and we can label by associating one label i = 0; 1; ...; n to each orbit. In particular the labelling is W_a {invariant. There is one obvious distinction between these two notions { \ordering orders the directed edges" and \labelling labels the vertices". For us it is important that the ordering is invariant under translations in the apartments. In general there are translations on which preserve the structure of a Coxeter complex but does not belong to W_a .

3.3 Theorem Any Euclidean Coxeter complex = can be ordered. Moreover an ordering is uniquely de ned by an ordering of a set of all directed edges of a xed alcove starting at some xed special vertex of alcove.

Proof Consider the set of all pairs (x; A) of *based alcoves* that is alcoves A with a xed special vertex x of it.

We wish to prove that the extended Euclidean Weyl group $\mathcal{W}_{a} = \mathbf{Z}^{\#} \rtimes W$ acts simply transitively on the set of all based alcoves, that is for any pair of based alcoves (X; A); $(X^{\ell}; A^{\ell})$ there is exactly one element $W \supseteq \mathcal{W}_{a}$ which takes x to x^{ℓ} and A to A^{ℓ} . The set of all special vertices coincides with the coweight lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$, (Section 2.9), consequently there is a translation from $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ which takes the special vertex x to the special vertex x^{ℓ} , hence we may assume that $x = x^{\ell}$. Since x is special $S_{W}(x) = W$ and the family of hyperplanes H_{x} passing through x de ne a spherical complex canonically isomorphic to sph. The alcoves based at x are in one{one correspondence with sectors of this spherical complex, thus by transitivity there is $W \supseteq S_{W}(x) = W$ taking A to A^{ℓ} .

Now let the element $W \ 2 \ \mathcal{W}_a = \mathbf{Z}^{\#} \rtimes W \quad \mathbf{x} \ (x; A)$. The translation $t_x : v \ \mathcal{V}$ v + x belongs to \mathcal{W}_a by lemma 2.9 and $t_x^{-1} W t_x$ xes $(0; t_x^{-1} A)$. In particular $W^{\ell} = t_x^{-1} W t_x \ 2 W$ and since W acts simply transitively on the set of chambers of sph the element W^{ℓ} is the identity, hence W is the identity.

Fix a based alcove (x; A) then we assert that any special edge is \mathcal{W}_{a} {conjugate to one and only one such an edge of (x; A) having x as an origin. Let e be a special edge. Since $\mathbb{Z}^{\#}$ acts simply transitively on the set $\overset{(0)}{spec}$ one may assume that x = 0. For the same reason one may assume that 0 is the origin of e. Now the sector corresponding to A is a fundamental domain for the action of W on the corresponding spherical complex and we can conclude that W is W{conjugate to one and only one such edge of (x; A) having x as an origin. A priori this does not mean that it is \mathcal{W}_{a} {conjugate to one and only one such

edge of (X; A) having x as an origin. But the stabilizer of 0 in M_a and that of in W_a is the same (= W).

The properties just proven allow us to order all special edges in the following way. Order the edges of any xed based alcove (*x*; *A*) starting at *x* arbitrarily and extend the ordering in a \mathcal{W}_a (equivariant way.

What is left is to extend the ordering to all quasi-special edges. Any such edge (1) with special x, y and there is unique *e* lies on a line segment [x; y]minimal such segment (it is fully de ned by the condition that there are only two special vertices on it, namely x and y.) Let [x; y] be such a segment and let e be oriented from x to y. If e starts at x then it is a special edge and already has got its label. If not then we assign to *e* the same order, which has the special edge on [x; y], beginning at x. This assigning is a canonical one thus we have a well de ned function on the set of all quasi-special vertices. Let us verify conditions 1 {3} in the de nition of an ordering (Section 3.1). Condition 1) concerns only special edges and the bijection desired is given by the construction. \mathcal{W}_{∂} (equivariance for special edges again is given by the construction and for quasi-special vertices it follows from the observation that the minimal [x, y] attached to any such an edge is obviously \mathcal{W}_{a} (equivariant. Finally 3) is given by the construction in the case of minimal [x; y] and clearly any non minimal such a segment is the union of minimal one. The only jumping of the order can be in the internal special vertex, but now we can use the fact that the ordering of special edges is \mathcal{W}_a {equivariant and in particular $\mathbf{Z} = \{ \{ x \in \mathbf{Z} \} \}$ equivariant. П

3.4 Corollary The ordering of any Euclidean Coxeter complex corresponding to a root system is uniquely de ned by an (arbitrary) choice of type function from the set $f!_Tg$ of all fundamental coweights corresponding to some simple system to the set $f1; \ldots; ng$:

Proof Indeed, there is an alcove of the form

$$A = <0; \frac{1}{c_1}! \frac{1}{1}; \ldots; \frac{1}{c_n}! \frac{1}{n} >;$$

where c_1 , \ldots , c_n are de ned by the expression of the highest root

$$\sim = \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ 1 & i & n \end{array} C_i \quad i;$$

see Section 2.7. The directed edges $[0; \frac{l_T}{c_l}]$ of this alcove (based in 0) are in one one correspondence with the fundamental coweights $f!_T g$.

3.5 De nitions We adopt the following direct de nition of a Euclidean building, see [5]. We call a simplicial piecewise Euclidean complex a *Euclidean building* if it can expressed as the union of a family of subcomplexes , called *apartments* or *flats*, satisfying the following conditions:

B0) There is a Euclidean Coxeter complex $_0$, such that for each apartment there is a simplicial isometry between and $_0$.

B1) Any two simplices of are contained in an apartment.

B2) Given two apartments f^{ℓ} with a common top-dimensional simplex (= chamber or alcove), there is an isomorphism f^{ℓ} xing Λ^{ℓ} point wise.

Note that isomorphisms in B2) are uniquely defined. A Euclidean building has a canonical metric, consistent with the Euclidean structure on the apartments. So each apartment E of is a Euclidean space with a metric $jx - yj_E$; $x; y \ge E$. Moreover, the isomorphisms $_0$! and isomorphisms between apartments given by the building axiom B2) are isometries. The metrics $jx - yj_E$ can be pieced together to make the entire building a metric space. The resulting metric will be denoted by $x; y \not P$ jx - yj. It is known (see [5], Theorem VI.3) that the metric space is complete. Besides for any $x; y \not P$ the line segment [x; y] is independent of the choice of E and can be characterized by

$$[x; y] = fz 2 X; jx - yj = jx - zj + jz - yjg:$$

Moreover [x; y] is *geodesic*, that is, it is the shortest path joining x and y and there is no other geodesic joining x and y.

3.6 Local geodesics are geodesic

A *local geodesic* is de ned as a nite union of line segments such that the angles between subsequent segments are equal \cdot . The important fact is that in Euclidean buildings local geodesics are geodesics. This fact is valid for the much more general case of CAT(0){spaces, [3], Proposition II.10.

3.7 De nitions We extend the de nitions from Section 3.1 to the case of Euclidean buildings. The notion of a special vertex can be de ned in the case of a Euclidean building just by putting the vertex into an apartment. This is well de ned because any isometrical isomorphism between apartments takes special vertices to special ones (since the same number of walls pass through x and (x)). Analogously the notion of a special edge is well de ned.

Call a directed edge *e* of a building *quasi-special* if it lies on a line segment [x; y] in ⁽¹⁾ with special vertices x; y. Let $E_s; E_{qs}$ be the sets of all special

and quasi-special edges respectively. Note the inclusion $E_s = E_{qs}$ which follows from the fact that any special edge e can be brought to the form $e = [0; \frac{1}{c_i}!_T]$ by the extended Weyl group, see Section 3.1, and now it is contained in the line segment $[0; !_T]$ in ⁽¹⁾ which has the special end points.

By an ordering of an Euclidean building we mean a function : $E_{qs} \not r$ $f_{1};...;ng;n = \dim$ ⁽¹⁾; such that when restricting to the set of quasi-special edges of any apartment it becomes an ordering of a corresponding Coxeter complex.

3.8 Lemma Let $; {}^{\ell}$ be two realizations of a Euclidean Coxeter complex in Euclidean spaces $V; V^{\ell}$ respectively and let $: V ! V^{\ell}$ be an isometry taking to ${}^{\ell}:$ If is any ordering on ${}^{\ell}$, then is an ordering on .

Proof The only non obvious condition is that is \mathscr{W}_a {equivariant that is w = for any $w \ 2 \ \mathscr{W}_a$. But the last equation is equivalent to $w^{-1} =$ and the assertion follows from the fact that normalizes the extended Weyl group by the lemma 2.6.

3.9 Theorem Any Euclidean building can be ordered. Moreover an ordering is uniquely de ned by an ordering of special edges of a xed alcove of a building starting at some xed special vertex. In particular, there are only nitely many orderings on any Euclidean building. Isomorphisms in (B2) in 3.5 can be taken to be order-preserving. If is an automorphism of a Euclidean building and is its ordering, then is again an ordering on .

Proof We follow the proof of a labellability of a building [5], Chapter IV, Proposition 1. Fix an arbitrary alcove $A = \langle x_1 x_1 \rangle \dots \langle x_n \rangle$ with special vertex and order the edges $[x; x_1]; \ldots; [x; x_n]$ by $1; \ldots; n$ respectively. If is any apartment containing A, then as was proved in Section 3.3, there is a unique ordering which agrees with the chosen ordering on A. For any two such apartments f^{i} the orderings f^{i} agree on the special edges of $\sqrt{\ell}$; this follows from the fact that that ℓ can be constructed as , where : ! $^{\ell}$ is the isomorphism xing $^{\ell}$. Since by Section 3.8 is again an ordering and since it coincide on the based alcove in $\sqrt{\ell}$ they coincide everywhere. The various orderings therefore t together to give an ordering de ned on the union of the apartments containing A. But this union is all

of .

Finally, to prove that is again an ordering, just note that leaves invariant the set of all (quasi)-special edges. The \mathcal{W}_a {invariance follows from the lemma 2.6.

3.10 Standard ordering

Let us order the fundamental coweights $!_{\overline{1}}$;...; $!_{\overline{n}}$ of the corresponding root system as they are naturally ordered in the tables of root systems given in [2]. This gives the *standard ordering of the standard alcove*, see Section 2.7 and thereby the *standard ordering of the building*.

4 De nition of a combing

Let be an ordered Euclidean building. We wish to construct a combing *C* on which consists of edge paths in the 1{skeleton ⁽¹⁾ and is \mathcal{W}_a {equivariant when restricted to any apartment. By de nition an *edge path* in a graph ⁽¹⁾ is a map of the interval [0; *N*] **N** into ⁽⁰⁾ such that $8i \ge [0; N-1]$ the vertices (*i*); (*i* + 1) are the end points of an edge in ⁽¹⁾. It is convenient to consider as an ultimately constant map by extending it to a map of [0; 7] making (*t*) stop after t = N, ie, by setting (*t*) = (*N*) for t = N.

4.1 Combing the building

Take any special vertices $x_i y 2^{(1)}$ and put them into some apartment We consider as a vector space, taking x as an origin. Since x is a special vertex, the walls $H 2 H_{Z}$, passing through x, de ne the structure of a spherical Coxeter complex $_{sph}(x)$. In particular y lies in some closed sector S of this spherical complex, based in x. To S one can canonically associate a based alcove $(x; A_S)$ { this is a unique alcove in S with x as one of its vertices. As with any sector, S is a simplicial cone and the rays de ning it are the rays spanned by the edges of the alcove A_S , started in x. More exactly, let $A_S = \langle x_i x_1 \rangle \dots \langle x_n \rangle$ with the edges $[x_i x_i] = e_i$ of type $i \mid i = 1 \rangle \dots \langle n$. These edges constitute the basis of a vector space structure on , corresponding to a choice x as an origin. For any e_i we de ng e_i {direction as the set of all rays of the form $z + \mathbf{R}e_i$; z = 2. We have $S_{\overline{D}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j & n \\ 1 & j & n \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_+ e_j$ relative to our vector space structure. Now y = 2S, hence $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j & n \\ 1 & j & n \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_+ e_j$ with m_1 ; $\dots m_n = 0$: We are able now to de ne the path xy of a combing C connecting x (= 0 relative to a vector space structure) and y. This is a concatenation of the line segments Х $[0; m_1 e_1]; [m_1 e_1; m_1 e_1 + m_2 e_2]; \dots; [$ $m_i e_i$; $m_i e_i$]; (1)

1 *i n*-1

1 *i n*

passing in this order. Geometrically speaking, $_{xy}$ is a concatenation of an ordered sequence of *n* segments (degenerate segments are allowed), such that the *i*-th segment is parallel to the line **R** e_i (degenerate segment is considered as to be parallel to any line).

De ne now a combing *C* by collecting all the paths of the form xy (for the special vertices x; y) as well as all their pre x subpaths in ⁽¹⁾.

4.2 Graph structure (1) *spec*

Apart from the natural simplicial graph structure on ⁽¹⁾ we wish to use another rougher simplicial graph structure ${}^{(1)}_{spec}$. The vertices of ${}^{(1)}_{spec}$ are the special vertices of ${}^{(1)}$. Two special vertices x; y are connected by the edge [x; y] (which is a line segment joining these vertices) if [x; y] lies in ⁽¹⁾ and there are no other special vertices between x and y. The main example of an edge in ${}^{(1)}_{spec}$ will be the line segment $[x; x + !_T]; i = 1; ...; n$ in a Euclidean Coxeter complex, where x is a special vertex, see Section 2.9. One obtains other examples from the observation that the extended Weyl group acts preserving the structure ${}^{(1)}_{spec}$.

Let C_{spec} be a subcombing of C consisting of those paths that connect only the special vertices of C_{spec} . Note that a combing C_{spec} gives rise naturally to a combing of a graph $(1)_{spec}^{(1)}$ which we will denote by the same symbol.

4.3 Lemma The natural embeddings $^{(1)}_{spec}$ $^{(1)}$ induce quasiisometry between the graphs $^{(1)}_{spec}$, $^{(1)}$ with their graph metrics and the building with its piecewise Euclidean metric.

Proof Recall some de nitions (see [11]). Given 1 and 0, a map $f: X \nmid Y$ of metric spaces is a (f, f) (quasi-isometric map if

$$\frac{1}{2}d_Y(x;y) - d_X(f(x);f(y)) - d_Y(x;y) + d_Y(x;y$$

for all $x; y \ge X$. If X is an interval, we speak of a *quasigeodesic path* in Y. Two metric spaces X and Y are *quasi-isometric* if there exists a quasi-isometric map f: X ! Y such that Y is a bounded neighborhood of the image of f. Then f is called a *quasi-isometry*. If the constant above is zero, then we speak about *Lipschitz maps* and *Lipschitz equivalence*.

Firstly we prove that the embedding $^{(1)}_{spec}$ is a Lipschitz equivalence. If is the Euclidean length of the longest edge in $^{(1)}_{spec}$, then clearly $d = d_{1:sp}$,

where $d_{1,sp}$ is a graph metric on $spec_{1,sp}^{(1)}$. On the other hand, if x, y are the special vertices, then by denition x_{y} is a concatenation of the line segments

$$[0; k_1!_{\overline{1}}] : [k_1!_{\overline{1}}; k_1!_{\overline{1}} + k_2!_{\overline{2}}] : ::: : [\begin{array}{c} \times & \times & \times \\ & k_i!_{\overline{1}}; & k_i!_{\overline{1}} \\ & 1 & i & n-1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times & & \\ & k_i!_{\overline{1}}; & k_i!_{\overline{1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

passing in this order. Its graph length in ${}^{(1)}_{spec}$ is equal to ${}^{\vdash}_{1 \ i \ n} k_i$ and this is an ${}^{(1)}$ (distance between x; y in ${}^{(1)}$ (metric on , given in coordinates attached to a basis ${}^{(1)}_{1}; \ldots; {}^{(1)}_{\overline{n}}$. Since, up to translations in , there are only nite number of bases of this type and since the ${}^{(1)}$ (metric is Lipschitz equivalent to the Euclidean metric there is a constant ${}_2 > 0$, such that ${}^{(1)}_{1;sp}(x; y) {}_2d(x; y)$ { this proves that an embedding ${}^{(1)}_{spec}$ is a Lipschitz equivalence.

Now, any edge in ${}^{(1)}_{Spec}$ consists of several edges of ${}^{(1)}$ and let ${}_{3}$ be the largest number of the edges in ${}^{(1)}$ lying on the edge of ${}^{(1)}_{Spec}$. Then for the graph metric d_{1} on ${}^{(1)}$ we have an inequality d_{1} ${}_{3}d_{1:Sp}$. Conversely, if ${}_{4}$ is the Euclidean length of the longest edge of the graph ${}^{(1)}$, then d ${}_{4}d_{1}$ and since $d_{1:Sp}$ and d are Lipschitz equivalent we get the inequality $d_{1:Spec}$ ${}_{5}d_{1}$ for a suitable positive constant ${}_{5}$.

4.4 Lemma The induced metric on ${}^{(1)}_{spec}$ as well as on ${}^{(1)}$ (induced from the Euclidean metric on a building) is Lipschitz equivalent to the edge path metric on these graph.

Proof This simple observation is indeed true in a more general situation of \mathbf{R} (*graphs*, that is simplicial graphs, in which any edge is endowed with a metric, making it to be isometric to a segment of a real line. Namely if the lengths of the edges in such a graph are bounded from above and from below by some positive constants, then the \mathbf{R} (metric on a graph is Lipschitz equivalent to the edge path metric on this graph. This is clear. Now in our situation of Euclidean Coxeter complexes there are only nitely many isometry types of the edges, so we have the bounds just mentioned.

Properties of C

4.5 _{*xy*} is quasigeodesic relative to the edge path metric on (1):

Obviously the path $_{xy}$ is geodesic relative to an '¹{metric on , given in coordinates attached to a basis e_1 ; ...; e_n . This '¹{metric is Lipschitz equivalent to a Euclidean metric on , which is Lipschitz equivalent to a graph metric on . These equivalences preserve the the quasigeodesicity of paths, hence our path is quasigeodesic. (It seems likely that indeed $_{xy}$ is geodesic).

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

Combing Euclidean buildings

4.6 Support of the path

The path $_{xy}$ uniquely de nes a cone $C = \bigcap^{P} f\mathbf{R}_{+} e_{i}; m_{i} > 0g$ which is called a *support* of . (It is uniquely de ned in , not in !). *C* is a subcomplex of , see Section 2.11. Note that travels inside of *C* (indeed it is the least cone in which it travels, since it can be de ned as the cone span of). Note also that *C* is the smallest face of *S*, containing *y* in its interior. In particular, any sector, containing *y*, contains also *C*.

4.7 $_{XY}$ is an edge path in the 1{skeleton ⁽¹⁾.

Let S = S for some simple system $= f_{i}g$ of an underlying root system. Then the corresponding alcove A is a closed simplex with the vertices 0 and $!_T = c_i$; i = 1; ...; n, see Section 2.7. Here $f_{i} = g$ is the dual basis for $f_{i} = g$ (the basis of coweight lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$) and $\sim = 1$ i $n C_i$ i is the corresponding highest root. Hence, after appropriate re-ordering of i, we may assume that $e_i = !_T = c_i$; i = 1; ...; n. The fundamental coweight $!_T$ is a special vertex and lies on the line passing through e_i , thus the line segment $[0, !_T]$ is an edge path in ⁽¹⁾. Since y is a special vertex it belongs to the coweight lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ by Section 2.9, and thus, in the notations of Section 4.1, all the numbers $k_i = \frac{m_i}{c_i}$ are integers. By de nition xy is a concatenation of the line segments

$$[0; k_1!_{\overline{1}}]; [k_1!_{\overline{1}}; k_1!_{\overline{1}} + k_2!_{\overline{2}}]; \dots; [\begin{array}{c} \times & \\ & k_i!_{\overline{1}}; \\ & 1 & i & n-1 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \times & \\ & k_i!_{\overline{1}}; \\ & 1 & i & n \end{array}]$$

passing in this order. We conclude from this formula that the path is a concatenation of the paths, obtained from the line segments $[0; !_T]$ (which are edge paths) by the action of $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$ and the last action preserves the simplicial structure on $\mathbf{Z}^{\#}$.

4.8 $_{xy}$ is contained in the convex hull of the set fx; yg.

By a *convex hull* of an arbitrary set in the building we mean the smallest convex subcomplex containing this set. For example apartments are convex, hence the convex hull of any set is contained in any apartment, which contains this set. In a case of a set consisting of two special vertices x; y one can describe the convex hull ch(x; y) as a parallelepiped, spanned by fx; yg. More exactly, in the notations of Section 4.7, we assert that

$$ch(x; y) = fz = \bigwedge z_i e_i : 0 \quad z_i \quad m_i; i = 1; \dots; ng:$$
(2)

It immediately follows from this description that x_y is contained in ch(x; y). To prove the equality (2), note rstly that the sector *S* is a convex subcomplex

as well as -S (Section 2.11). We see immediately that the parallelepiped P on the right hand side is the intersection of the sectors S and -S + y and thus is a convex subcomplex. Hence P = ch(x; y). Suppose that $P \neq ch(x; y)$, then ch(x; y) is a proper convex subcomplex of P, containing x; y. Because ch(x; y) is an intersection of closed half-spaces bounded by elements of $H_{\mathbf{Z}}$, there is a half-space H^+ de ned by some $H \ge H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, containing ch(x; y), but not P. (One can prove this fact by showing rstly that ch(x; y) is a convex hull of nite number of vertices and then follow the standard proof that the convex polygon is an intersection of half-subspaces, supported on codimension one faces.) Suppose, for instance, that y is not farther from H than x. Take the hyperplane $H_1 2 H_Z$ parallel to H and passing through y and let H_1^+ be a half-space bounded by H_1 and contained in H^+ . Since H_1 pass through the special vertex y it belongs to the structure $_{sph}(x)$ of spherical complex in y, see Section 4.1, and since H_1^+ contains x it contains also the support C -1 of the path $^{-1}$, inverse to . But $C_{-1} = -C_{-1} + y$, hence it contains the parallelepiped P, contradiction.

This proof does not work in the case when *y* is not special, since the set of hyperplanes from $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ passing through *y* does not constitute the structure of spherical complex. But still we can prove that the parallelepiped *P* in (2) is contained in ch(*x*; *y*). Suppose the contrary, that *P* is not contained in ch(*x*; *y*), then again there is a half-space H^+ de ned by some $H \ 2 \ H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, containing ch(*x*; *y*), but not *P*. Suppose that *y* is not farther from *H* than *x* (the opposite case was already treated above). De ne the structure $_{sph}(y)$ by translating such a structure from any special vertex. Relative to this structure *x* lies in the support $C_{-1} = -C_{-1} + y$, where $_{-1}^{-1}$ is the path inverse to $_{-1}$. Consider the hyperplane $H_1 \ 2 \ H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ parallel to *H* and passing through *y* and let H_1^+ be a half-space bounded by H_1 and contained in H^+ . Since H_1^+ contains *x* it contains also $C_{-1} = -C_{-1} + y$, hence it contains the parallelepiped *P*, contradiction.

4.9 *C* is \mathcal{W}_a {invariant.

This immediately follows from the fact that the ordering is \mathcal{W}_{a} {invariant and from the geometric interpretation of the paths in *C* just given.

4.10 If x; y are special vertices, then xy is uniquely de ned by x; y.

Firstly, the convex hull ch(x; y) is uniquely defined. It is a parallelepiped and its 1{dimensional faces are ordered. Now xy is a unique edge path from x to y in ch(x; y) which is a concatenation of 1{faces of ch(x; y) passing in the increasing order.

5 Fellow traveller property

5.1 Theorem The combing *C* of an ordered Euclidean building constructed in Section 4 satis es the \fellow traveller property", namely there is k > 0 such that if z < 2C begin and end at a distance at most one apart, then

$$d_1((t);(t)) = k$$

for all t = 0. (The metric d_1 is the graph metric on (1).) The same is true for the combing C_{spec} on a graph $(1)_{spec}$, see 4.2.

Proof A) Let us rstly consider the case where : begin at the same vertex and end at a distance one apart, that is [(1); (1)] is an edge. It is easily seen from a k{fellow traveller property that for any c > 0 if $: {}^{\ell} 2 c$ begin and end at a distance at most c apart, then $j(t) - {}^{\ell}(t)j kc$ for all t = 0. Note also that we can work in one apartment since the initial vertex of the paths and the edge [(1); (1)] are contained in some apartment and thus the whole paths lie in this apartment, see Section 4.8. Thus we may assume that :are contained in the Coxeter complex and start at 0. Associated to :are their supports C : C in which they travel respectively. The intersection $K = C \setminus C$ is a simplicial cone of the form $K = -\mathbf{R}_{+} v_{j}$ for some set $fv_{j}g$ of special edges. Hence $X = \frac{X}{C} = \frac{X}{\mathbf{R}_{+} u_{j}} + \frac{X}{\mathbf{R}_{+} v_{j}}$

$$C = \mathbf{R}_{+} W_{k} + \mathbf{R}_{+} V_{i}$$

where $fu_i g$; $fv_i g$; $fw_k g$ are the sets of special edges (possibly empty) and the sets $fu_i g_i fw_k g$ do not intersect. We will argue by induction on the sum dim C + dim C: The least nontrivial case is when the sum is equal to 2 and both of C; C are one dimensional, that is they are simplicial rays. If and have the same direction then obviously they 1{fellow travel each other. If not then they diverge linearly with a speed bounded from below by a constant not depending on the paths (indeed, there only nitely many of possibilities for the angle between C and C). Thus they could end at a distance one apart only when they passed a bounded distance, thus they k{fellow travel for some k > 0. A similar argument applies when $C \setminus C = 0$: The main case is when K = $\mathbf{R}_{+} v_{i}$ is nonzero. Again in this case the argument similar to $C \setminus C =$ the above shows that (resp.) can move in the U{direction (resp. in the W{direction) only for a bounded amount of time, c say. The rest of the proof

is the reduction to the case when the paths lie in \mathcal{K} { then we can apply inductive hypothesis. But we need a de nition. Consider a path ; consising of two linear subpaths ${}^{\emptyset}$; ${}^{\emptyset}$ passing in this order and having the directions v; w correspondingly. Construct the path ~, which goes distance $j {}^{\emptyset}j$ in the w{direction and distance $j {}^{\emptyset}j$ in the v{direction. We call ~ an *elementary transformation of the 2*{*portion path* . Note now that if $j {}^{\emptyset}j$ *c*, then ~ and

2c{travel each other. Using the elementary transformations as above we can push out all the linear subpaths of with u{directions to the end of the path. So we assume that and are such from the beginning. Of course this operation takes from the combing C, but note that the initial V{portion of continues to lie in C, since the elementary transformations do not change the ordering of V{directions. Cutting out the U{tails and W{tails of and correspondingly, we may assume that and lie in the cone K and end within at most distance *c* for some universal constant *c*. Now let v_{i_0} be of the smallest order in the set $fv_i g$. Then each of the paths $fv_i g$ move some nonzero time in v_{j_0} {direction, hence they coincide during some nonzero time. Cutting out the longest coinciding part of and we may assume that one of them

does not contain the v_{j_0} {direction at all. But now the dimension of the support either of decreases and we may apply an induction hypothesis. or B) Consider now the general case where *;* 2 *C* begin and end at a distance at most one apart. Adding a bounded number of edges to and one may end at the special vertices which are distance at most assume that and *c* apart for some constant *c*, depending only on *c* Drawing a path from *C* connecting (0) and (1) and making use the part A) of the proof we reduce the problem to the case when ; end at the same special vertex. Denote by C_{spec} the set of all paths from C connecting special vertices. Now consider the combing $-C_{spec}$ consisting by de nition of the paths which are inverse to the paths from C_{spec} . Obviously $-C_{spec}$ is obtained in the same way as C_{spec} but reversing the underlying ordering. Thus for some k^{\emptyset} the combing $-C_{spec}$ satis es the k^{ℓ} fellow traveller property for paths which begin at the same vertex. Now let $^{-1}$; $^{-1}$ be the paths inverse to ; so that $^{-1}$; $^{-1}$ 2 $-C_{spec}$. Consequently $^{-1}$; $^{-1}$ k^{\emptyset} {fellow travel each other. This doesn't imply are k^{ℓ} {fellow travellers since they arrive at (0) and (0) at that and di erent times. Let N and N the the length of and respectively. Then $^{-1}(t) = (N - t)$ (assuming that extended to the negative times in an

obvious way). We have $j(t) - (t)j = j^{-1}(N - t) - {}^{-1}(N - t)j j^{-1}(N - t) - {}^{-1}(N - t)j + j^{-1}(N - t) - {}^{-1}(N - t)j$. The rst modulus is bounded since ${}^{-1}$; ${}^{-1}$ fellow travel each other. The second modulus is bounded since the paths ${}^{-1}(N - t)$; ${}^{-1}(N - t)j$ di er by only a bounded time shift.

C) Let $\therefore 2C$ be the paths from a combing C_{spec} , beginning and ending at a distance at most one apart. There is a constant c > 0, depending only on , such that any edge in ${(1) \atop spec}$ is of a length c. Then, applying part B) we prove that \therefore fellow travel each other relative to ${(1) \atop (metric and since the metrics <math>d_1$; $d_{1;spec}$ are Lipschitz equivalent, we get the fellow traveller property for the combing C_{spec} on a graph ${(1) \atop spec}$.

6 Recursiveness of a combing C

In this section will be an ordered Euclidean building with a standard ordering, see Section 3.10.

The de nition of *C* given above is "global" in the sense that a path from *C* "knows" where it goes to. In this section we show that a path from *C* can be de ned by a simple local \direction set": namely any pair of consecutive directed quasi-special edges fe_1 ; e_2g shall be one of the following two types:

1) fe_1 ; e_2g is *straight*, that is the angle between e_1 and e_2 is equal and hence the union $e_1 [e_2]$ is the line segment of length 2 in the edge path metric,

or

2) the type *i* of e_1 is strictly less than the type *j* of e_2 , the end of e_1 (= the origin of e_2) is a special vertex and $(e_1; e_2) = (\frac{1}{c_i} ! \frac{1}{c_j}; \frac{1}{c_j} ! \frac{1}{c_j})$:

Define C^{ℓ} to be the family of all paths in ⁽¹⁾, in which any pair of consecutive edges satisfy either 1) or 2).

6.1 Theorem If is a Euclidean building of one of the following three types A_n ; B_n ; C_n , then the combings C and C^{\emptyset} coincide.

Proof It follows immediately from the global de nition of *C* that it is contained in C^{ℓ} . The proof of the converse proceeds by induction on the number of line segments constituting the path $2 C^{\ell}$. Take $2 C^{\ell}$ and write it as = [e, where e is the last edge of and is the portion of , preceding <math>e. By the induction hypothesis 2 C thus ch(x; y), where x = (0) ; y = (1) in view of Section 4.8. Let be an apartment containing both (0) and e. Since

is convex it contains ch(x, y) and thereby . Consequently all our path is contained in . Take x as an origin and identify with the standard Coxeter

complex . Thus we may assume that $\$ lies in a standard sector $\ ^{\triangleright} \mathbf{R}_{+}$ / $_{\mathcal{T}}$ and

$$y = m_1 \frac{I_{\overline{I_1}}}{C_{I_1}} + \cdots + m_r \frac{I_{\overline{I_r}}}{C_{I_r}};$$

where all the coe cients m_i are natural numbers. Let e_1 be the last edge of , then it is parallel to $!_{T_r}$ and is of type i_r . With the notation $j = i_r$ and by de nition of C^{ℓ} the type k of e is not smaller than j and the pair fe_1 ; eg is one of the following two types:

1) fe_1 ; eg is *straight*, that is the angle between these two vectors is zero and, hence, by 3.6 the union e_1 [e is the line segment of the length 2 in edge path metric

or

2) the type *j* of e_1 is strictly less than the type *k* of *e* and $(e_1; e) = (\frac{1}{c_i} I_T; \frac{1}{c_i} I_T)$:

Since *y* is a special vertex its stabilizer W(y) is conjugate to W { the Weyl group of a root system \cdot . Since the set of all the edges of type *k* starting in

(1) = y is an orbit $W!_{\overline{k}}$ we have $e = w\frac{!_{\overline{k}}}{c_k}$ for some $w \ 2 W(y)$: If one could nd this $w \ 2 W$ in such a way that it xes all $!_{\overline{L}}$; $i \ j$; then such w xes , since lies in a Euclidean subspace spanned by the vectors $!_{\overline{L}}$; $i \ j$. Now applying w^{-1} to the path , we get that $w^{-1} = \int \frac{1}{c_k}!_{\overline{k}}$, hence $w^{-1} \ 2 C$. Taking into account that W_a preserves C, we get that 2C. The problem now is to nd $w \ 2 W(y)$ with the properties as above. As was mentioned above the last edge of is parallel to $!_{\overline{L}}$ and is of type j. Again by de nition of C^{\emptyset} we deduce that $(\frac{1}{c_l}!_{\overline{L}}; e) = (\frac{1}{c_l}!_{\overline{L}}; \frac{1}{c_k}!_{\overline{k}})$:

Thus, to nish the proof we need the following technical lemma.

6.2 Lemma (a) Let be a root system of one of the types A_n ; B_n ; C_n , given by the tables in [2], pages 250{275. Order fundamental coweights by their indices as they are given in [2]. Let ! be a coweight of type k and

$$(!_{\overline{I}};!) = (!_{\overline{I}};!_{\overline{K}})$$

for some j < k. Then there is $w \ge W$ xing all the vectors $!_T$; i = j and such that $! = w!_{\overline{k}}$.

(b) The assertion is not true for the remaining classical case when is of type D_n .

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

6.3 Proof of lemma 6.2

(a) Case A_n : n = 1

Denote by $_{0}$;...; $_{n}$ the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} ; n = 1. Let V be the hyperplane in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} consisting of vectors whose coordinates add up to 0. De ne to be the set of all vectors of squared length 2 in the intersection of V with the standard lattice $\mathbf{Z}_{0} + \ldots + \mathbf{Z}_{n}$. Then consists of the n(n+1) vectors:

and W acts as a permutation group S_{n+1} on basis $_0$; ...; $_n$.

For the simple system take

$$1 = 0 - 1; 2 = 1 - 2; ...; n = n - 1 - n;$$

Then the highest root is

$$\sim = 0 - n = 1 + 2 + \dots + n$$

The fundamental coweights are

$$!_{j} = (_{0} + ::: + _{j-1}) - \frac{j}{n+1} \underset{i=0}{\times} i: 1 \quad j \quad n:$$

Let the coweight ! satis es the hypotheses of the lemma. Then since the type is W{invariant $! = u!_{\overline{k}}$ for some $u \ 2 \ W$. As W acts by permutations on the basis $!_{\overline{L}}$

$$I = \frac{X}{1 + r + k} \sum_{i_r} -\frac{k}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N_r} i^i$$
(3)

By the hypotheses of the lemma

$$(!_{\overline{J}};!) = (!_{\overline{J}};!_{\overline{K}}) \tag{4}$$

for some j < k.

The left hand side of (4) is

$${}_{0} + :::+ j_{-1} - \frac{j}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N'} i \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ r \ k}}^{N'} i_{r} - \frac{k}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N'} i = card fr j i_{r} j - 1g - \frac{jk}{n+1}$$
(5)

The right hand side of (4) is

$$(!_{\overline{j}};!_{\overline{k}}) = 0 + \dots + j_{-1} - \frac{j}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} i = 0 + \dots + k_{-1} - \frac{k}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} i = 0$$

Gennady A Noskov

$$\frac{j(n+1-k)}{n+1} = j - \frac{jk}{n+1}$$
 (6)

Now comparing (5) and (6), we conclude that

$$\operatorname{card} fr j i_r \quad j - 1g = j;$$

hence from (3)

$$e = (0 + \dots + j_{-1}) + i_j + \dots + i_k - \frac{k}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} j^{j}$$

But $! = u !_{\overline{k}}$ and

$$!_{\overline{k}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 + \cdots + k \end{pmatrix} - \frac{k}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} i^{i}$$

consequently $! = w!_{\overline{k}}$ for some $w \ge W$ xing all the vectors $_{0; 1}$; $_{j-1}$: Since $!_{\overline{k}}$; i = j are the linear combinations of the vectors

$$0; 1; \dots; j-1; \frac{j}{n+1} \times \frac{j}{i=0}$$

(the last one is xed by W), we get that $!_{\overline{i}}: i = j$ are also xed by W.

Case B_n ; n = 2

Denote by $_1$;...; $_n$ the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^n ; n = 2. Define to be the set of all vectors of squared length 1 or 2 in the standard lattice $\mathbf{Z}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{Z}_n$. So consists of the 2n short roots $_i$ and the 2n(n-1) long roots $_i$ $_j$; (i < j), totalling $2n^2$: For the simple system take

$$1 = 1 - 2$$
; $2 = 2 - 3$; $n - 1 = n - 1 - n$; $n = n - 1$

Then the highest root

$$r = 1 + 2$$

The Weyl group W is the semidirect product of S_n (which permutes *i*) and $(\mathbb{Z}=2)^n$ (acting by sign changes on the *i*), the latter normal in W.

The fundamental coweights are

$$!_{\bar{i}} = 1 + 2 + \dots + i; 1 \quad i \quad n:$$

Let the coweight *e* satis es the hypotheses of the lemma. Then since $W!_{\overline{k}}$ is the set of all edges of type *k* we have $! = u!_{\overline{k}}$ for some $u \ 2 \ W$. As *W* acts by \sign" permutations on the basis $!_j$ we have that

$$I = \prod_{\substack{i_r:\\1 \ r \ k}} i_r$$
(7)

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

By hypotheses of the lemma

$$!_{\overline{J}}! = !_{\overline{J}}!_{\overline{K}} \tag{8}$$

for some j < k.

The left hand side of (8) is equal to

$$\binom{1}{1+\cdots+j}\binom{k}{i_r} \operatorname{card} frji_r jg: \tag{9}$$

The right hand side of (8) is equal

$$I_{\overline{I}}I_{\overline{K}} = j: \tag{10}$$

Now comparing (9) and (10), we conclude that

$$\operatorname{card} fr j i_r \quad j g = j;$$

hence from (7)

$$! = (0 ::: j) + i_j ::: i_k:$$

But $! = u !_{\overline{k}}$ and

$$!_{\overline{k}} = 1 + 2 + \dots + k; 1 \quad k \quad n:$$

Since $!_T$; i = j are linear combinations of the vectors $_0$; $_1$; \ldots ; $_{j-1}$; we get that $!_T$; i = j are also xed by W.

But $! = w !_{\overline{j}}$, consequently w can be chosen in such a way that it xes the vectors $_1$; :::; $_j$:

Case C_n ; n = 2

Starting with B_n , one can de ne C_n to be the inverse root system. It consists of the 2n long roots 2_i and the 2n(n-1) short roots i_j ; (i < j), totalling $2n^2$. For the simple system take

$$1 = 1 - 2; 2 = 2 - 3; ...; n - 1 = n - 1 - n; n = 2 n;$$

Then the highest root

 $\sim = 2_{1}$

The Weyl group W is the semidirect product of S_n (which permutes *i*) and $(\mathbb{Z}=2)^n$ (acting by sign changes on the *i*), the latter normal in W.

The fundamental coweights are

$$I_{\overline{i}} = 1 + 2 + \dots + i$$
; $1 \quad i < n$:

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

Now one can repeat word by word the case of B_n . This completes the proof of part (a).

Proof of (b) Let now be of the type D_n ; n = 4: Denote by $_1$; \ldots ; $_n$ the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Define to be the set of all vectors of squared length 2 in the standard lattice $\mathbb{Z}_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{Z}_n$. So consists of the 2n(n-1) roots $_i = _i(1 = i < j = n)$: For the simple system take

$$1 = 1 - 2; 2 = 2 - 3; ...; n-1 = n-1 - n; n = n-1 + n:$$

Then the highest root

 $\sim = 1 + 2$

The Weyl group W is the semidirect product of S_n (which permutes *i*) and $(\mathbb{Z}=2)^{n-1}$ (acting by an even number of sign changes on the *i*), the latter normal in W.

The fundamental coweights are

$$I_{\overline{i}} = 1 + 2 + \dots + i; \quad 1 \quad i < n - 2;$$

$$I_{\overline{n}-1} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2 + \dots + n - 2 + n - 1 - n);$$

$$I_{\overline{n}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2 + \dots + n - 2 + n - 1 + n);$$

Now take the vector

$$! = \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2 + \dots + n - 2 - n - 1 - n);$$

which is a coweight since $! = u!_{\overline{n}}$ where $u \ 2 \ W$ acts by signs changes on n-1; n: Take j = n-1, then $!_{\overline{n}-1}! = !_{\overline{n}-1}!_{\overline{n}}$ and it is impossible to replace u by some $w \ 2 \ W$ so that $! = w!_{\overline{n}}$ and w xes $!_{\overline{1}}; i < n$: Indeed, let $! = w!_{\overline{n}}$ and let w be the identity on $!_{\overline{1}}; \ldots; !_{\overline{n}-1}$: Then w xes n-1 - n, from which it follows that either w = 1 or w changes the signs both of n-1; n: Contradiction.

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

7 Automatic structure for groups acting on Euclidean buildings of type A_n ; B_n ; C_n

7.1 Theorem (1) Let be any Euclidean building of one of the types A_n ; B_n ; C_n , ordered in a standard way (see Section 3.10 for a de nition). Then any group acting freely and cocompactly on by type preserving automorphisms admits a biautomatic structure.

(2) If is any Euclidean building of one of the types A_n , B_n , C_n , then any group acting freely and cocompactly on possesses a nite index subgroup which admits a biautomatic structure.

Let *G* be a group satisfying the conditions of the theorem. We shall proceed in several steps. Firstly we recall the denitions related to an automatic group theory, then we establish an isomorphism between the complex $^{(1)}_{spec}$ and the Cayley graph of a fundamental groupoid $G = _1 Gn$; $Gn \stackrel{(0)}{spec}$: Making use the combing C_{spec} and its properties we prove the biautomaticity of the groupoid above. Finally, we apply a result from [7], [12], asserting that any automorphism group of a biautomatic groupoid *G* (which is isomorphic to *G*) is biautomatic.

7.2 Automatic structures on groups and groupoids

We shall use the groupoids technique and since the groups are a special case of groupoids, we give all the de nitions for groupoids. We summarize here without proofs the relevant material on groupoids from [7], [12]. A *groupoid G* is a category such that the morphism set $Hom_G(V; W)$ is nonempty for any two objects (=vertices) and such that each morphism is invertible. In particular for any $V \ 2$ Ob *G* the morphism set $G_V = Hom_G(V; V)$ is a group and any group *G* can be considered as a groupoid with one object, whose automorphism group is *G*. The group G_V does not depend on *V*, up to an isomorphism. A groupoid is said to be *generated* by a set *A* of morphisms, if every morphism is a composite of morphisms in $A [A^{-1}: Fix \text{ a vertex } V_0 \ 2G \text{ as a base point and}$ assume *A* is a generating set of morphisms with $A = A^{-1}$. The *Cayley graph* $CG = C(G; A; V_0)$ of *G* with respect to a base point V_0 and generating set *A* is the directed graph with vertices corresponding to morphisms in *G* with domain (=source) V_0 , that is

Vert $CG = Hom(v_0; v) = [fHom(v_0; v)jv 2 Ob Gg:$

There is a directed edge $f f^{a}$ fa from the morphism f to the morphism fa; a 2 A whenever fa is de ned (we write the morphisms on the right); we give this edge a label a. In the case of a group the vertex set of a Cayley graph is just a group itself and we have a usual notion of a Cayley graph of a group. In particular each edge path in CG spells out a word in A, where as usual, A denotes the free monoid on A: And vice versa, for any word W 2 A and any vertex f there is a unique path in CG, beginning in f and having W as its label. We put a path-metric on CG by deeming every edge to have unit length. Note that the group G_{v_0} acts on CG by left translations. The generating graph $GG = GG_A$ is a graph with the same set of vertices as G and with edges corresponding to morphisms in A and labeled by them.

There is a natural projection p from CG to GG, defined as follows. Let the morphism $f: v_0 ! w$ be a vertex of a Cayley graph CG then $p(f: v_0 ! w) = w$. If f f f a is an edge of CG and $f: v_0 ! w$; a: w ! u then p sends it to the edge a: w ! u of GG. The group G_{v_0} gives the group of covering transformations for p and $G_{v_0}nC(G; A; v) ' GG$. Indeed, the isomorphism is induced by p and if $f f^a f a$, $f_1 f^{a_1} f_1 a_1$ are in the ber, then $g = f_1 f^{-1} 2 G_{v_0}$:

Automatic structures on groupoids

Let *G* be a nitely generated groupoid and *A* a nite set and $a \not P$ a is a map of *A* to a monoid generating set *A G*. A *normal form* for *G* is a subset *L* of *A* satisfying the following

(i) *L* consists of words labelling the paths in $C(G; A; V_0)$ (that is only composable strings of morphisms are considered, starting at the base point id *2* Hom $(V_0; V_0)$)

(ii) The natural map L ! Hom $(v_0$;) which takes the word $w = a_1 a_2 a_n$ to the morphism $a_1 a_2 a_n 2$ Hom $(v_0$;) is onto.

A rational structure is a normal form that is a regular language ie, the set of accepted words for some nite state automaton. Recall that a *nite state automaton* M with alphabet A is a nite directed graph on a vertex set S(called the set of *states*) with each edge labeled by an element of S (maybe empty). Moreover, a subset of *start states* $S_0 = S$ and a subset of *accepted states* $S_1 = S$ are given. By de nition, a word w in the alphabet A is in the *language* L *accepted by* M i it de nes a path starting from S_0 and ending in an accepted state in this graph. A language is *regular* if it is accepted by some nite state automaton.

We will say that a normal form *L* has the fellow traveller property" if there is a constant *k* such that given any normal form words *v*; *w* 2 *L* labelling the

paths $v_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}$ in Cayley graph $C(G; A; v_{0})$ which begin and end at a distance at most one apart, the distance d(w(t); v(t)); t = 0; 1; ::: never exceeds k. A *biautomatic structure* for a groupoid G is a regular normal form with the fellow traveller property.

7.3 Theorem ([7], 13.1.5, [12], 4.1, 4.2) Let *G* be a groupoid and v_0 an arbitrary vertex of *G*. Then *G* admits a biautomatic structure if and only if the automorphism group G_{v_0} of v_0 admits such a structure.

7.4 Groupoid $_1$ Gn ; Gn $_{spec}^{(0)}$

In this section *G* is a group acting freely and cocompactly on a Euclidean building of of the following types A_n ; B_n ; C_n by automorphisms preserving the standard ordering.

Fundamental groupoid

The prime example of a groupoid will be the *fundamental groupoid* $_1(X)$ of the path-connected topological space X. The set of objects(=vertices) of $_1(X)$ is the set of points of X and the morphisms from x to y are homotopy classes of paths beginning at x and ending at y. The multiplication in $_1(X)$ is induced by compositions of paths. Given a subset Y = X we obtain a subgroupoid $_1(X;Y)$ whose vertices are the points of Y and the morphisms are the same as before. In particular if Y consists of a single point then we get the fundamental group of X based at that point.

Generating set of groupoid $_1$ *Gn* ; *Gn* $^{(0)}_{spec}$

7.5 Lemma Let *A* be the set of homotopy classes of the images in *Gn* of directed edges of the graph $^{(1)}_{spec}$. Then *A* is a nite set, generating groupoid $G = _1 Gn ; Gn \stackrel{(0)}{spec}$:

Proof This set is nite since by condition has only nitely many cells under the action of *G*: To prove that *A* generates *G* take a path from Gv to Gv^{0} ; then since is contractible, see [5] and *G* acts freely on the projection

! Gn is a universal cover and there is a unique lift e of into which begins at *v*: This lift ends at a translate gv^{ℓ} of v^{ℓ} where *g* is determined by the homotopy class of *:* Moreover, any path in from *v* to gv^{ℓ} will project to a path in *Gn* which is homotopic to *:* In particular the path from C_{spec} which crawls from *v* to gv^{ℓ} is homotopic to *e*. Since this path is the edge path in

⁽¹⁾_{spec} it projects into Gn as a composition of homotopy classes of the images in Gn of directed edges of the graph ⁽¹⁾_{spec}; which is a product of morphisms from A. This means that A is a generating set for G.

Labelling the graph ⁽¹⁾_{spec}

Consider $\binom{(1)}{spec}$ as a directed graph and label the edge *a* by an element *Ga 2 A*.

7.6 Lemma $\stackrel{(1)}{_{spec}}$ as a labeled graph is isomorphic to a Cayley graph *CG* of a fundamental groupoid $G = {}_{1}$ *Gn* ; *Gn* $\stackrel{(0)}{_{spec}}$:

Proof Fix a base vertex v_0 in $\stackrel{(1)}{spec}$ and consider Gv_0 as a base point in Gn. A vertex in G is a homotopy class [f] of paths from Gv_0 to some Gv. There is a unique lift $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ of f into which begins at v_0 . We send the vertex [f] to the end of the path $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$. Now if $[f] \stackrel{[a]}{:} [f][a]$ is an edge in CG then there are unique lifts $\hat{\mathcal{P}}: \mathfrak{G}$ of [f]: [a] to such that \mathfrak{G} starts at the end of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$. We map the edge $[f] \stackrel{[a]}{:} [f][a]$ to the edge \mathfrak{G} , labeled by $G\mathfrak{G}$. This de nes an isomorphism as required.

7.7 Language

Recall that we label directed edges in $_{spec}^{(1)}$ in a G{equivariant way by A, so each path from C_{spec} spells out a word in A: De ne a language L to be the subset of A which is given by all words which label the paths from combing C_{spec} starting at the basepoint v_0 . It follows from the above discussion that we have a bijective map from L to morphisms in $G = {}_1 Gn$; $Gn {}_{spec}^{(0)}$

Lemma The language L over A determined by the combing C_{spec} is regular.

Proof (cf [12], 6.1) We shall construct a non-deterministic nite state automaton M over A which has L as the set of acceptable words. The set of states of M is A; all states are initial states and all states are acceptable states. There is a transition labelled by Ge_1 from Ge_1 to Ge_2 if there are ordered edges e_1^l ; $e_2^l = 2 \qquad (1) \\ spec$ in Ge_1 ; Ge_2 respectively, such that e_2^l starts at the tail of e_1^l and one of the following conditions holds:

1) both e_1^{ℓ} ; e_2^{ℓ} constitute a geodesic linear path of the length 2 in $_{spec}^{(1)}$, that is a local geodesicity condition is satis ed in the common vertex, see 3.6.

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

2) If e_1^{ℓ} is of type *i* and e_2^{ℓ} is of type *j*, and if $e_1^{\ell\ell}$ is the last edge in ⁽¹⁾, lying on the segment e_1^{ℓ} and $e_2^{\ell\ell}$ is the rst edge in ⁽¹⁾ lying on e_2^{ℓ} , then $(e_1^{\ell\ell}; e_2^{\ell\ell}) = (\frac{!_T}{c_i}; \frac{!_T}{c_i})$:

Since the condition dening the transitions is the same as in the local description of C in Section 6, the language L is precisely the language accepted by the nite state automaton.

7.8 Finishing the proof of the theorem 7.1

By Theorem 7.3 it is enough to show that the fundamental groupoid $G = {}_{1}(Gn \ ;Gn \ ^{(0)}_{spec})$ is automatic. Fix a base vertex Gv_0 for Gn, where $v_0 \ 2 \ ^{(0)}_{spec}$. Let A be an alphabet which is in one one correspondence with a nite generating set $Gn \ ^{(1)}_{spec}$ of G, see 7.5. Let $L \ A$ be a language consisting of words which are spelled out from paths of G. By the construction of a combing, see 4.1, L surjects onto $G(v_0; \cdot)$. By Section 7.7, L is regular. By Section 5 it satis es k{fellow traveller property. Hence, in view of an isomorphism of A{labelled graphs $\int_{spec}^{(1)} CG$ we get that G is biautomatic.

To prove the second assertion just note that the set of all orderings of a Euclidean building is nite and any group acting simplicially on a building, acts also on this nite set of orderings, hence it contains a subgroup of nite index which preserves any ordering, that is acts by a type preserving automorphisms.

7.9 Remarks Actually, one can derive easily from Sections 4.3,4.4,4.5 that the structures we have built are quasigeodesic ones. On the other hand, as Prof W Neumann has pointed out to us, every (synchronous) automatic structure contains a sublanguage which is an (synchronous) automatic structure with the uniqueness property ([7] 2.5.1) and it follows ([7] 3.3.4) that (synchronous) automatic structures with uniqueness are always quasigeodesic.

References

- W Ballmann, M Brin, Polygonal complexes and combinatorial group theory, Geom. Dedicata, 2 (1994) 165{191
- [2] N Bourbaki, Groupes et algebres de Lie. Chapitres IV, V et VI, Hermann (1972)
- [3] Martin Bridson, Andre Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature, is nearing completion (1995)
- [4] Martin R Bridson, Geodesics and curvature in metric simplicial complexes, from: \Group theory from a geometrical viewpoint" (Trieste, 1990), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ (1991) 373{463
- [5] KS Brown, Buildings, Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer{Verlag (1989)
- [6] Donald I Cartwright, Michael Shapiro, Hyperbolic buildings, a ne buildings, and automatic groups, Michigan Math. J. 42 (1995) 511{523
- [7] DBA Epstein, JW Cannon, DF Holt, SVF Levy, MS Paterson, WP Thurston, Word processing and group theory, Jones and Bartlet Publishers (1992)
- [8] SM Gersten, HB Short, Small cancellation theory and automatic groups, Invent. Math. 102 (1990) 305{334
- [9] SM Gersten, HB Short, Small cancellation theory and automatic groups, II, Invent. Math. 105 (1991) 641{662
- [10] **James E Humphreys**, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29, Cambridge University Press (1990)
- [11] Walter D Neumann, Michael Shapiro, Automatic structures and boundaries for graphs of groups, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 4 (1994) 591{616
- [12] GA Niblo, LD Reeves, The geometry of cube complexes and the complexity of their fundamental groups, Topology, 37 (1998) 621{633
- [13] G A Noskov, Bicombings of triangle buildings, Preprint, University of Bielefeld (1995-038)