Geometry & Topology Volume 6 (2002) 59{67 Published: 27 February 2002



# Surface bundles over surfaces of small genus

Jim Bryan Ron Donagi

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia 121-1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver BC Canada V6T 1Z2 and Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania 209 S 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, USA

Email: j bryan@math.ubc.ca and donagi@math.upenn.edu

#### **Abstract**

We construct examples of non-isotrivial algebraic families of smooth complex projective curves over a curve of genus 2. This solves a problem from Kirby's list of problems in low-dimensional topology. Namely, we show that 2 is the smallest possible base genus that can occur in a 4{manifold of non-zero signature which is an oriented ber bundle over a Riemann surface. A re ned version of the problem asks for the minimal base genus for xed signature and ber genus. Our constructions also provide new (asymptotic) upper bounds for these numbers.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 14D05, 14D06, 57M20

Secondary: 57N05, 57N13, 14J29

Keywords: Surface bundles, 4{manifolds, algebraic surface

Proposed: Dieter Kotschick Received: 24 May 2001 Seconded: Walter Neumann, Gang Tian Revised: 7 February 2002

## 1 Introduction

By a *surface bundle over a surface* we will mean an oriented ber bundle whose bers are compact, oriented 2{manifolds and whose base is a compact, oriented 2{manifold. In this paper, we solve the following problem, posed by Geo Mess, from Kirby's problem list in low-dimensional topology:

**Problem 1** (Mess, [8] Problem 2.18A) What is the smallest number b for which there exists a surface bundle over a surface with base genus b and non-zero signature?

The rst examples of surface bundles over surfaces with non-zero signature were constructed independently by Atiyah [1] and Kodaira [9] (which were then generalized by Hirzebruch in [7]); these examples had base genus 129. In his remarks following the statement of the problem, Mess alludes to having a construction with base genus 42; later examples with base genus 9 were constructed in [3]. Subsequently, it was noticed by several people (eg [2, 11]) that the original examples of Atiyah, Kodaira, and Hirzebruch have two di erent brations, one of which is over a surface of genus 3.

Since the signature of a 4{manifold which bers over a sphere or torus must vanish, the smallest possible base genus is two. We prove that this does indeed occur as a special case of our main construction.

**Theorem 1.1** For any integers g; n = 2, there exists a connected algebraic surface  $X_{g;n}$  of signature  $(X_{g;n}) = \frac{4}{3}g(g-1)(n^2-1)n^{2g-3}$  that admits two smooth brations  $_1: X_{g;n} ! C$  and  $_2: X_{g;n} ! D$  with base and ber genus  $(b_i; f_i)$  equal to

$$(b_1; f_1) = (g; g(gn-1)n^{2g-2} + 1)$$
 and  
 $(b_2; f_2) = (g(g-1)n^{2g-2} + 1; gn)$ 

respectively.

In particular, for n = g = 2 the manifold  $X_{2,2}$  from Theorem 1.1 gives us:

**Corollary 1.2** There exists a 4{manifold of signature 16 that bers over a surface of genus 2 with ber genus 25.

Any surface bundle X ! B with ber genus f is determined up to isomorphism by the homotopy class of its classifying map : B !  $M_f$ , where  $M_f$  is the

Geometry & Topology, Volume 6 (2002)

moduli space of non-singular genus f curves, regarded as a complex orbifold, and is an orbi-map (and the homotopy class is formed using homotopies in the orbifold category).

From the index theorem for families (see [1] or [12]), the signature of X is determined by the evaluation of the rst Chern class of the Hodge bundle  $\mathbb{E}$  !  $\mathcal{M}_f$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$(X) = 4$$
 $B$ 
 $(c_1(\mathbb{E})):$ 

Since for f 3,  $\det(\mathbb{E})$  is ample on  $\mathcal{M}_f$  (eg [6]),  $(c_1(\mathbb{E}))$  will evaluate non-trivially on B for any non-constant holomorphic orbi-map :  $B ! \mathcal{M}_f$ . Thus any holomorphic family X ! B that is not isotrivial will have non-zero signature.

For f 3, the non-torsion part of  $H_2(\mathcal{M}_f; \mathbf{Z})$  is of rank one and is generated by the dual of  $c_1(\mathbb{E})$  and so one can re ne the original problem as the problem of determining the minimal genus for representatives of elements of  $H_2(\mathcal{M}_f; \mathbf{Z})$  mod torsion (c.f. [8] 2.18B and [3]). That is, one can try to  $\mathbf{Z}$  nd the numbers:

$$b_f(m) = \min fb$$
: 9 a genus f bundle X! B with  $g(B) = b$  and  $f(X) = 4m.g$ 

Kotschick has determined lower bounds on  $b_f(m)$  using Seiberg{Witten theory [10], and the constructions of [4] and later [3] give systematic upper bounds for  $b_f(m)$ . Given a bundle  $X \mid B$ , one obtains a sequence of bundles by pulling back by covers of the base. The base genus and signature grow linearly in this sequence, so it is natural to consider the minimal genus asymptotically. De ne

$$G_f = \lim_{m! \to 1} \frac{b_f(m)}{m}$$
:

It is easy to see that this limit exists and is f nite (see [8] 2.18B). Upper bounds for f are given by Endo, et al in [3]; our constructions substantially improve their upper bounds for the case when f is composite:

**Corollary 1.3** Let  $G_f$  be de ned as above and suppose that f = ng with n; g = 2. Then

$$G_f = \frac{3n}{n^2 - 1}$$
:

**Proof** Start with the bundle  $X_{g:n}$  !  $\mathcal{D}$  from the theorem and construct a sequence of bundles  $X_{g:n}^m$  !  $\mathcal{D}^m$  obtained by pulling back by unrami ed, degree

Geometry & Topology, Volume 6 (2002)

m covers of the base  $\mathcal{D}^m$  !  $\mathcal{D}$ . The signature and base genus of these examples are easily computed:

$$(X_{g;n}^m) = m \ (X_{g;n})$$
$$g(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^m) - 1 = m(g(\hat{\mathcal{D}}) - 1)$$

and so

$$G_f \quad \lim_{m!} \frac{mg(g-1)n^{2g-2}+1}{\frac{m}{3}g(g-1)(n^2-1)n^{2g-3}} = \frac{3n}{n^2-1}.$$

For example, if f is even, then we have

$$G_f \quad \frac{6f}{f^2 - 4} < \frac{6}{f - 2}$$

which improves the bound of  $\frac{16}{f-2}$  found in [3]. Note that Kotschick's lower bound is  $\frac{2}{f-1}$ .

Our constructions are similar to Hirzebruch, Atiyah, and Kodaira's in that they are also branched covers of a product of Riemann surfaces. We have re ned and extended their approach and we also employ some ideas that go back to a construction of Gonzalez-Diez and Harvey [5]. We would like to thank Dieter Kotschick for helpful comments and suggestions.

The rst author is supported by an Alfred P Sloan Research Fellowship and NSF grant DMS-0072492 and the second author is supported by NSF grant DMS-9802456.

### 2 The main construction

We will construct  $X_{g;n}$  as a degree n, cyclic branched cover of a certain product of curves,  $\mathcal{D}$  C. This cover will be branched along two disjoint curves  $_1$  and  $_2$  where the  $_i$ 's are the graphs of unrami ed maps  $f_i$ :  $\mathcal{D}$ ! C. We begin by rst constructing intermediate covers  $f_i$ : D! C.

 preimage of a point  $p_1 \ 2 \ C$  under the map  $f_1^{\emptyset}$ . It is all pairs of the form  $(p_1; \ ^{-1}(\ (p_1) + \ ))$  and so  $f_i^{\emptyset}$  is of degree g and is unrami ed away from the two points  $(\ ^{-1}(o); \ ^{-1}(\ ))$  and  $(\ ^{-1}(\ ); \ ^{-1}(o))$ . We will show that these points are ordinary  $g\{\text{fold singularities of } D^{\emptyset} \text{ and so then letting } D \ ! \ D^{\emptyset} \text{ be the normalization, we will obtain the unrami ed, degree } g \text{ covers } f_i \colon D \ ! \ C$  by the composition of  $f_i^{\emptyset}$  with the normalization.

To see that  $(^{-1}(o); ^{-1}())$  2  $D^{\emptyset}$  is an ordinary  $g\{\text{fold singular point, consider local coordinates } u$  and v on E about o and such that u is identified to v by translation by . Choose local coordinates z and w on C so that is locally given by  $u=z^g$  and  $v=w^g$ . Then  $z^g=w^g$  are the local equations for  $D^{\emptyset}$  in C C at the points  $(^{-1}(o); ^{-1}())$  and  $(^{-1}(); ^{-1}(o))$  which are thus ordinary  $g\{\text{fold singularities.}\}$ 

Note that since  $D^{\emptyset}$  is disjoint from the diagonal, the covers  $f_i$ : D! C have the property that  $f_1(p) \notin f_2(p)$  for all  $p \not = D$ . It is not immediately clear from the construction that D is connected; we will postpone the discussion of this issue until the end of the section.

We next construct the unrami ed cover  $\mathcal{D}$ !  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let Nm:  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(C)$ !  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(E)$  be the norm map induced by that is, given a degree zero divisor  $m_i p_i$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ , Nm( $m_i p_i$ ) is defined by  $m_i$  ( $p_i$ ). Note that by construction,

$$Nm(O(p_1 - p_2)) = O(-o)$$
 for  $(p_1; p_2) 2D^{\emptyset}$  C C:

We choose an *n*th root of O(-0) which we denote by R.

We de ne an unrami ed cover  $\hat{D}$ ! D of degree  $n^{2g-2}$  as follows.

$$\hat{D} = (L; (p_1; p_2)) \ 2 \operatorname{Pic}^0(C) \quad D: \quad L^n = O(p_1 - p_2); \quad \operatorname{Nm}(L) = R^n:$$

The natural projection  $\mathcal{D}$ ! D is unrami ed and has degree  $n^{2g-2}$  since the bers are torsors on the  $n\{$ torsion points in Ker(Nm) (which is a connected Abelian variety of dimension g-1 by the argument below). Let  $f_i$ :  $\mathcal{D}$ ! C be the compositions with  $f_i$  and let  $f_i$ :  $\mathcal{D}$ :  $f_i$ :

To see that Ker(Nm) is connected, consider the following diagram with exact rows:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(C; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(C; \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^0(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow_{\mathcal{J}_1} \qquad \downarrow_{\mathcal{J}_2} \qquad \downarrow_{\mathcal{N}_m}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(E; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(E; \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^0(E) \longrightarrow 0$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 6 (2002)

Since  $Ker(a_2)$  is connected, Ker(Nm) is connected if  $Ker(a_2)$  ! Ker(Nm) is surjective. By a diagram chase,  $Ker(a_2)$  ! Ker(Nm) is surjective if  $a_1$  is surjective. But  $a_1$ , which is , is indeed surjective because does not factor through any unrami ed cover (the factored map would have to have only one rami cation point which is impossible).

We want to construct  $X_{g:n}$  !  $\mathcal{D}$  C as a cyclic branched cover of degree n, rami ed over  $_1 - _2$ . To do this we need to construct a line bundle L !  $\mathcal{D}$  C so that  $L^n = O(_1 - _2)$ . Once we have L, we will de ne

$$X_{q:n} = f(v_1 : v_2) \ 2 \mathbf{P}(L \quad O) : \quad (v_1^n : v_2^n) = (s_1 : s_2) g$$

where  $s_i$  is a section of  $O(_i)$  that vanishes along  $_i$  so that  $(s_1 : s_2)$  is in  $\mathbf{P}(O(_1) \quad O(_2))$  which is the same as  $\mathbf{P}(O(_1 - _2) \quad O)$ .

To nd L, we use the Poincare bundle P!  $Pic^0(C)$  C which is a tautological bundle in the sense that  $Pj_{fLg}$   $_C = L$ . P is uniquely determined by choosing a point  $p_0$  2 C and specifying that P restricted to  $Pic^0(C)$   $fp_0g$  is trivial. We use the same letter P to denote the pullback of P by the composition of the inclusion and projection:

$$L \stackrel{n}{j_{fxg}} C = P \stackrel{n}{j_{fxg}} C$$

$$= L \stackrel{n}{}$$

$$= O(p_1 - p_2)$$

$$= O(1 - 2)j_{fxg} C$$

therefore,  $(L-)^n$   $O(_1-_2)$  is trivial on every slice  $f \times g$  C and so it must be the pullback of a line bundle on  $\mathcal{D}$ . But

$$L^{n}j_{\hat{D}} p_{0} = P^{n}j_{\hat{D}} p_{0} M^{n}$$

$$= O(f_{1}^{-1}(p_{0}) - f_{2}^{-1}(p_{0}))$$

$$= O(f_{1}^{-1}(p_{0}) - f_{2}^{-1}(p_{0}))$$

and so (L-)  $^n$   $O(_{1}-_{2})$  is indeed the trivial bundle. The line bundle L then gives us the  $n\{\text{fold cyclic branched cover }X_{g;n} \mid D \subset C \text{ by the construction described above.}$ 

The ber of the projection  $X_{g;n}$  !  $\mathcal{D}$  over a point  $x = (L; p_1; p_2)$  2  $\mathcal{D}$  is the  $n\{\text{fold cyclic branched cover of } C \text{ branched at } p_1 - p_2 \text{ determined by } L.$  By the Riemann $\{\text{Hurwitz formula, this curve has genus } gn.$  On the other hand, the ber of  $X_{g;n}$  ! C over a point  $p \in C$  is an  $n\{\text{fold cyclic cover of } \mathcal{D} \text{ branched over } \mathcal{P}_1^{-1}(p) - \mathcal{P}_2^{-1}(p) \text{ which consists of } 2gn^{2g-2} \text{ (distinct) points.}$  Noting that  $g(\mathcal{D}) = g(g-1)n^{2g-2} + 1$ , one easily computes the ber genus to be  $g(gn-1)n^{2g-2} + 1$ .

To determine the signature of  $X_{g;n}$  we use a formula for the signature of a cyclic branched cover due to Hirzebruch [7]:

$$(X_{g;n}) = (\mathcal{D} \quad C) - \frac{n^2 - 1}{3n} (_1 - _2)^2$$
: (1)

The signature of  $\hat{D}$  C is zero, and since  $_1$  and  $_2$  are disjoint, we just need to compute  $_1^2 = _2^2$ . By the adjunction formula, we have

and so

$$(X_{g;n}) = \frac{4}{3}g(g-1)(n^2-1)n^{2g-3}$$
:

We have not yet proved that  $X_{g;n}$  is connected since it is not clear from their constructions whether D and B are connected or not. If D or B were not connected, it would actually improve our construction in the sense that the connected components of  $X_{g;n}$  would still ber as surface bundles in two different ways but would have a smaller base or ber genus (depending on which bration is considered). In fact, for certain choices of C, one can show that D is disconnected when g is a composite number with an odd factor. However, we do not explore these possibilities but instead, to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 as stated, we show that one can always take  $X_{g;n}$  to be connected.

To this end, suppose that  $\hat{D}$  is disconnected with N components. Since  $\hat{D}$ ! D and D! C are normal coverings, N must divide  $gn^{2g-2}$ , the degree of  $\hat{F}_i$ :  $\hat{D}$ ! C. Fix a connected component  $\hat{D}^{\emptyset}$  of  $\hat{D}$  and let  $X_{g;n}^{\emptyset}$  be the corresponding component of  $X_{g;n}$ . Note that  $X_{g;n}^{\emptyset}$ !  $\hat{D}^{\emptyset}$  C is the cyclic branched cover determined by  $L^{\emptyset} := Lj_{\hat{D}^{\emptyset}}$  C. Note that the degree of  $\hat{D}^{\emptyset}$ ! C is  $N^{-1}gn^{2g-2}$ . Now consider any connected, unrami ed, degree N

The computation of the signature of  $X_{g;n}^{\emptyset}$  and the computation of the base and ber genera of the brations  $X_{g;n}^{\emptyset}$ !  $D^{\emptyset}$  and  $X_{g;n}^{\emptyset}$ ! C then proceed identically with the corresponding computations for  $X_{g;n}$  done previously (where we were implicitly assuming that  $\hat{D}$  was connected). Indeed, those computations only depended upon the degree of  $\hat{F}_i$  which is the same as the degree of  $f_i^{\emptyset}$ . Therefore, whenever  $\hat{D}$  is not connected, we replace  $\hat{D}$  with  $\hat{D}^{\emptyset}$  and we replace  $X_{g;n}$  with the connected surface  $X_{g;n}^{\emptyset}$  thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2.1 A simple construction of a base genus 2 surface bundle

The surfaces  $X_{g,n}$  were constructed to be economical with both the ber genus and the base genus. A simple construction of a base genus 2 surface bundle (but with larger ber genus) can be obtained as follows. Let C be a genus 2 curve with a xed point free automorphism : C! C (eg, let C be the smooth projective model of  $y^2 = x^6 - 1$  which has a xed point free automorphism of order 6 given by  $(x, y) \not I (e^{2i-6}x, -y)$ . Let :  $\mathcal{E} ! C$  be the unrami ed cover corresponding to the surjection  $_1(C)$  !  $H_1(C; \mathbf{Z}=2)$ . Then the graphs are disjoint in  $\mathcal{E}$ C and the class [ ] + [ is divisible by 2 (by an argument similar to the one in [2] for example). Therefore, there exists a double cover, X! © C branched along and , so that the projections  $X \not : C$  and  $X \not : \mathcal{E}$  are smooth brations. One then easily computes that the bundle X! C has base genus 2, ber genus 49, and signature 32.

## References

- [1] **M F Atiyah**, *The signature of bre-bundles*, from: \Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira)", Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo (1969) 73{84
- [2] **Jim Bryan**, **Ron Donagi**, **Andras Stipsicz**, *Surface bundles: some interesting examples*, Turkish J. Math. 25 (2001) 61{68, proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> Gökova Geometry and Topology conference
- [3] H Endo, M Korkmaz, D Kotschick, B Ozbagci, A Stipsicz, Commutators, Lefschetz brations and the signatures of surface bundles, arXi v: math. GT/0103176, to appear in Topology
- [4] **Hisaaki Endo**, A construction of surface bundles over surfaces with non-zero signature, Osaka J. Math. 35 (1998) 915{930

- [5] **Gabino Gonzalez-D ez, William J Harvey**, On complete curves in moduli space. 1, 11, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 110 (1991) 461{466, 467{472}
- [6] **Joe Harris**, **Ian Morrison**, *Moduli of curves*, Springer{Verlag, New York (1998)
- [7] **F Hirzebruch**, *The signature of rami ed coverings*, from: \Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira)", Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo (1969) 253{265
- [8] **Rob Kirby**, *Problems in low dimensional topology*, from: \Proceedings of the 1993 Georgia International Topology Conference held at the University of Georgia, Athens, GA, August 2{13, 1993", (William H Kazez, editor), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1997)
- [9] **K Kodaira**, *A certain type of irregular algebraic surfaces*, J. Analyse Math. 19 (1967) 207{215
- [10] **D Kotschick**, Signatures, monopoles and mapping class groups, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998) 227{234
- [11] **Claude LeBrun**, *Di eomorphisms, symplectic forms, and Kodaira brations*, Geom. Topol. 4 (2000) 451{456
- [12] **Ivan Smith**, Lefschetz brations and the Hodge bundle, Geom. Topol. 3 (1999) 211{233